




Extraction of the cross-section of the near-threshold photoproduction of J/ψ with the CLAS12 experiment

Pierre Chatagnon


Jefferson Lab, USA

pierrec@jlab.org

**QNP2024-10th International
Conference on Quarks and
Nuclear Physics**

Universitat de Barcelona

July 10th 2024



Motivations and previous results

Photoproduction of the J/ψ meson near its production threshold

J/ψ photoproduction near the energy threshold

$$\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi \ p' \rightarrow e^+ e^- p'$$

- At the energy production threshold, the **t-dependence of the cross-section** allows to access gluon Gravitational Form Factors (GFFs) and the mass radius of the nucleon.

Cross-section


$$\frac{d\sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi p}}{dt} = \frac{1}{64\pi s} \frac{1}{|p_{\text{cm}}|^2} |\mathcal{M}_{\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi p}(t)|^2$$

Amplitude

$$\mathcal{M}_{\gamma p \rightarrow J/\psi p}(t) \propto \langle p' | T_{\mu\mu}^g | p \rangle$$

Matrix element


$$\langle p', s' | \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}^a(x) | p, s \rangle = \bar{u}' \left[A^a(t) \frac{\gamma_{\{\mu} P_{\nu\}}}{2} + B^a(t) \frac{i P_{\{\mu} \sigma_{\nu\}} \rho \Delta^\rho}{4m} + D^a(t) \frac{\Delta_\mu \Delta_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \Delta^2}{4m} + m \bar{c}^a(t) g_{\mu\nu} \right] u e^{i(p'-p)x}$$



Coupled channels and pentaquarks

- The previous considerations rely on the application of Vector Meson Dominance.
- Thus the contribution from open-charm meson channels and potential pentaquark must be understood or ruled-out.

Total cross-section as a function of photon energy



Recent results from JLab




Figure from “Measurement of the J/ψ photoproduction cross section”, S. Adhikari et al. (GlueX Collaboration). Phys. Rev. C 108, 025201, 2023, arXiv:2304.03845






Figure from “Dynamics in near-threshold J/ψ photoproduction”, D. Winney, C. Fernandez-Ramirez, A. Pilloni, A. N. Hiller Blin et al. (JPAC), Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 5, 054018 arXiv:2305.01449




Figures from S. Prasad presentation at the APS/JPS 2023 meeting

Figure from “Determining the gluonic gravitational form factors of the proton”. Duran, B., Meziani, Z.E., Joosten, S. et al. Nature 615, 813–816 (2023)



Experimental setup and analysis strategy

The CLAS12 detector package



Exclusive dilepton event selection

What we want to measure

$$\gamma p \rightarrow e^+ e^- p'$$

What we can measure with CLAS12

$$ep \rightarrow (e') \gamma p \rightarrow (e') e^+ e^- p'$$


Exclusive dilepton event selection: Exclusivity variables

1) CLAS12 PID + Positron NN PID

$$ep \rightarrow (e')\gamma p \rightarrow (X)\overbrace{e^+e^-} p'$$

$$p_X = p_{beam} + p_p - p_{e^-} - p_{e^+} - p_{p'} \longrightarrow 2) |M_X^2| < 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2 \longrightarrow 3) Q^2 < 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$$



Proton identification



Lepton identification

Cherenkov counters


+ Calorimeter energy deposition



$$\text{Sampling Fraction} = \frac{E_{dep}}{P}$$

Exclusive dilepton invariant mass spectrum

$$ep \rightarrow (e')\gamma p \rightarrow (X)e^+e^-p'$$



Total cross section computation

$$\sigma_j = \frac{N_{J/\psi j}}{\mathcal{F}_j \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \omega_{cj} \cdot B_r \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot \epsilon_{Rad/j}}$$

Number of photons and Number of targets

I) Number of J/Psi from data


II) Normalization factor

Branching ratio

Radiative corrections from MC

Reconstruction efficiency from MC


I) Number of J/ψ from data








Experiment	Number of J/ψ	Integrated $\mathcal{L}$ (pb ⁻¹)
GlueX	2270	320
Hall C - 007	~2K	
CLAS12	707	114

II) Normalization factor - Overall strategy for the background modelization

- 1) Event mixing procedure from data :
 - Randomly select electron, positron, proton (from different events)
 - Construct kinematics and make sure they are within the region of interest:
 $(M_{ee} > 2 \text{ GeV}, |MM|^2 < 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2, Q^2 < 2 \text{ GeV}^2)$
- 2) Reweight events to match data in the training region, using a BDT-based method from [Alex Rogozhnikov 2016 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 762 012036](#). Code available [here](#).
- 3) Validate the weights on the validation region.
- 4) Apply weights on the signal region and obtained BG-subtracted yields



II) Normalization factor - Data/MC comparison in the signal region



- Normalization factor can be computed as:


$$\omega_c = \frac{N_{Data} - N_{BG}}{N_{SIM\ BH}} = 68.6\% \pm 16.9\%$$

Assigned as systematic error on normalization




Results from the CLAS12 experiment

Kinematic coverage and binning





Region of the dip observed by GlueX

Preliminary total cross-section results




- Only the dominant normalization systematic (17%) is included in the CLAS12 results.
- Both cross-sections are in agreement and errors (statistical and systematics) are of similar size.
- No clear conclusion concerning a potential dip in the open charm threshold region.


Differential cross section coverage and binning



Preliminary differential cross-section results




Dipole fit and interpretation in term of mass radius



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \Big|_0 \cdot \frac{1}{(1-t/m_S^2)^4}$$

$$\sqrt{\langle r_m^2 \rangle} = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{m_S}$$



Toward GFF extraction including CLAS12 data (work in progress)

Model dependent extraction of GFFs

• Holographic QCD model

J/ψ near threshold in holographic QCD: A and D gravitational form factors, Kiminad A. Mamo and Ismail Zahed, Phys. Rev. D 106, 086004, 2022

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \mathcal{N}^2 \frac{e^2}{64\pi(s-M_N^2)^2} \frac{[A(t) + \eta^2 D(t)]^2}{A^2(0)} \cdot \tilde{F}(s) \cdot 8$$

• Generalized Parton Distribution model

QCD analysis of near-threshold photon-proton production of heavy quarkonium, Yuxun Guo, Xiangdong Ji, and Yizhuang Liu, Phys. Rev. D 103, 096010, 2021

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{\alpha_{EM} e_Q^2}{4(W^2 - M_N^2)^2} \frac{(16\pi\alpha_S)^2}{3M_V^3} |\phi_{NR}(0)|^2 |G(t, \xi)|^2$$

GFFs in $G(t, \xi)$


• GFF parametrization

$$D(t) = \frac{D(0)}{(1 - \frac{t}{m_D})^3} \quad A(t) = \frac{A(0)}{(1 - \frac{t}{m_A})^3}$$

$$A(0) = 0.414$$

Equal to gluon momentum fraction

See T.-J. Hou et al., Phys. Rev. D 103, 014013 (2021) for $A(0)$ value



Take-aways and outlook

- Photoproduction of J/ψ has become a *flagship* measurement for *current and future* JLab experiments.
- *New cross-section results* from the CLAS12 experiment have now been released.
- Current work is dedicated to wrapping-up the analysis note for *publication in the next few months*.
- Strong efforts to *interpret these data*, and *expand upon the capabilities of CLAS12* (measurement on deuterium target and muon final state analysis).

Thank you for your attention




BACK-UPS

Positron PID

One important challenge: a clean positron identification

Pion background at large momenta

At high momenta (typically above the HTCC threshold at 4.5 GeV), both pions and leptons will emit Cherenkov light.



14

AI identification of the positrons


Strategy and discriminating variables

- Leptons produce electromagnetic showers and tend to deposit energy in the first layers of the calorimeters.
- Pions are Minimum Ionizing Particles in the GeV region, they deposit small amounts of energy all along their path.

- Two main characteristics to use:

$$1. SF_{EC Layer} = \frac{E_{dep}(EC Layer)}{E}$$

$$2. M_2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{U,V,W} \frac{\sum_{strips} (x-D)^2 \cdot \ln(E)}{\sum_{strips} \ln(E)}$$



15

Performances of AI identification of the positrons


Strategy and discriminating variables

- Leptons produce electromagnetic showers and tend to deposit energy in the first layers of the calorimeters.
- Pions are Minimum Ionizing Particles in the GeV region, they deposit small amounts of energy all along their path.

- Two main characteristics to use:

$$1. SF_{EC Layer} = \frac{E_{dep}(EC Layer)}{E}$$

$$2. M_2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{U,V,W} \frac{\sum_{strips} (x-D)^2 \cdot \ln(E)}{\sum_{strips} \ln(E)}$$



16


One important challenge: a clean positron identification

[Back up](#)

Pion background at large momenta


At high momenta (typically above the HTCC threshold at 4.5 GeV), both pions and leptons will emit Cherenkov light.

$$ep \rightarrow ep\pi^+\pi^- \text{ VS } ep \rightarrow epe^+\pi^-$$



$$\gamma p \rightarrow e^+e^-p$$

$M > 1.5 \text{ GeV}$





AI identification of the positrons

Strategy and discriminating variables

- Leptons produce electromagnetic showers and tend to deposit energy in the first layers of the calorimeters.
- Pions are **M**inimum **I**onizing **P**articles in the GeV region, they deposit small amounts of energy all along their path.
- Two main characteristics to use:

1.
$$SF_{\text{EC Layer}} = \frac{E_{\text{dep}}(\text{EC Layer})}{P}$$

2.
$$M_2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{U,V,W} \frac{\sum_{\text{strip}} (x-D)^2 \cdot \ln(E)}{\sum_{\text{strip}} \ln(E)}$$



Performances of AI identification of the positrons


[Back up](#)

Strategy and discriminating variables

- Leptons produce electromagnetic showers and tend to deposit energy in the first layers of the calorimeters.
- Pions are **M**inimum **I**onizing **P**articles in the GeV region, they deposit small amounts of energy all along their path.
- Two main characteristics to use:

1.
$$SF_{\text{EC Layer}} = \frac{E_{\text{dep}}(\text{EC Layer})}{P}$$

2.
$$M_2 = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{U,V,W} \frac{\sum_{\text{strip}} (x-D)^2 \cdot \ln(E)}{\sum_{\text{strip}} \ln(E)}$$



J/ψ analysis


Data and MC samples

- Analysis on Pass 2 data. All main Fall 18 (inbending and outbending) and Spring 19 runs are processed.
- Simulations are processed through OSG with pass 2 configuration
- The **QADB** tool is used to cleanup data and retrieve the accumulated charge per DST files
- The **RCDB** interface of **clas2root** is used to retrieve the beam current for each run
- Accumulated charge is computed per beam current for each configuration

Generator	Config / Beam currents / Charge					
	Fall 18 In.			Fall 18 Out.		
Grapt	45 nA 26.312 mC	50 nA 4.000 mC	55 nA 5.355 mC	40 nA 11.851 mC	50 nA 20.630 mC	50 nA 45.994 mC
TCSGen	2M each			1.5 M		
JpsiGen				2M each		
JpsiGen (No rad.)				3M each		
Total of 24 MC samples and 3 Data samples						

Radiative effects

- Inclusion of radiative effect is done in all generators according to formulas in: **Mathias Heller et al. Soft-photon corrections to the beta-decay process in the $\mu\mu \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$ reaction, PRD**
- The **JpsiGen**, **TCSGen** generator with radiative effect are on Github, as well as an event converter for **Grapt** ...not yet on OSG
- A full note on the algorithm is ready and will be included in the analysis note.
- The **work** was presented at the CLAS collaboration meeting in July 23.




Photon flux

- Real and virtual flux are provided event by event by the **JpsiGen** generator.
- The integral over the range of energy of the bin j is done using the integrals theorem:

$$\mathcal{F}_{e/j} = \int_j \mathcal{F}_e dE = \Delta E \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{F}_e(E_{i,GEN/j}) \cdot \omega_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i}$$
- Each flux (one per configuration) is multiplied by the corresponding accumulated charge:

$$\mathcal{F}_j = \sum_c C_c \cdot \mathcal{F}_{e/j}$$
 Total number of photons in the bin j is unit of e
- The results is multiplied by the luminosity factor to recover the correct normalizing factor:


$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{L \cdot N_A \cdot C}{e}$$



Detection efficiency

- From the data fit a second order polynomial background function is extracted
- Events are generated according to this background function and added to the jet signal MC sample
- The obtained distribution is fitted with the same function as the data
- The acceptance correction is then:


$$\epsilon_j = \frac{N_{J/\psi}^{(J/\psi, RAD)}}{N_{J/\psi}^{(J/\psi, RAD)}}$$



Radiative correction


- Jpsi samples without radiative effects are produced
- The radiative correction is defined using the GEN kinematics as:

$$\epsilon_{Rad/j} = \frac{N_{J/\psi}|_{J/RAD}}{N_{J/\psi}|_{J/GEN}}$$




Selection cut systematics

- Every step of the analysis, except normalization factor is repeated with different cuts:
 - Q: **DONE**
 - PH: **DONE**
 - Fit function: **DONE**
- Lepton momenta cut: **To be done**
- Lepton ID cut: **To be done**
- Proton ID: **To be done**




Bin volume correction



Deuterium target and muon final state


- Deuterium data were taken by CLAS12 in 2016/2020.
- Opportunity to measure J/ψ production on (bound) neutron and (bound) proton.
- Alongside this analysis, a framework to explore the muon decay channel was developed.
- This effort is led by R. Tyson from University of Glasgow.



Tagged J/ψ quasi-photonproduction with CLAS12

$$ep \rightarrow e' J/\psi p' \rightarrow e' l^+ l^- (X)$$

- Analysis conducted by M. Tenorio-Pérez, ODU.
- In this case, one electron in the Forward Tagger (low lab angle < 5°) and a lepton pair in CLAS12.
- Excellent cross-check of the quasi-photonproduction approach.
- Early results show low level statistics, the new data "cooling" including better tracking efficiency will be beneficial for this analysis.
- Other event topologies will be explored.



- Other potential J/ψ analysis using CLAS12 data
- Available data for longitudinally polarized proton target


Data and MC samples

- Analysis on Pass 2 data. All *main* Fall 18 (Inbending and outbending) and Spring 19 runs are processed.
- Simulations are processed through OSG with pass 2 configuration
- The [QADB tool](#) is used to clean-up data and retrieve the accumulated charge per DST files
- The [RCDB interface of clas12root](#) is used to retrieve the beam current for each run
- Accumulated charge is computed per beam current for each configuration

Generator	Config / Beam currents / Charge					
	Fall 18 In.			Fall 18 Out.		Sp. 19
	45 nA 26.312 mC	50 nA 4.000 mC	55 nA 5.355 mC	40 nA 11.831 mC	50 nA 20.620 mC	50 nA 45.994 mC
Grape	8.2M each					6.7 M
TCSGen	2M each					1.5 M
JPsiGen	2M each					
JPsiGen (No rad.)	3M each					
Total of 24 MC samples and 3 Data samples						

Radiative effects

- Inclusion of radiative effect is done in all generators according to formulas in: [*Matthias Heller et al. Soft-photon corrections to the bethe-heitler process in the \$\gamma p \rightarrow l+l-p\$ reaction. PRD*](#)
- The [JpsiGen](#), [TCSGen](#) generator with radiative effect are on Github, as well as an event converter for [Grape](#) ...not yet on OSG
- A full note on the algorithm is ready and will be included in the analysis note.
- The [work](#) was presented at the CLAS collaboration meeting in July 23.



Photon flux

1) Real and virtual flux are provided event by event by the [JPsiGen Generator](#).

2) The integral over the range of energy of the bin j is done using the integral/mean theorem:



$$\mathcal{F}_{c/j} = \int_j \mathcal{F}_c dE = \Delta E \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathcal{F}_c(E_{GEN/i}) \cdot \omega_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i}$$

3) Each flux (one per configuration) is multiplied by the corresponding accumulated charge:

$$\mathcal{F}_j = \sum_c C_c \cdot \mathcal{F}_{c/j} \quad \text{Total number of photon in the bin } j \text{ in unit of } e$$

4) The results is multiplied by the luminosity factor to recover the correct normalizing factor:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{l \cdot \rho \cdot N_A \cdot C}{e}$$




Plots from Richard Tyson

Detection efficiency

- 1) From the data fit a second order polynomial background function is extracted
- 2) Events are generated according to this background function and added to the J/ψ signal MC sample
- 3) The obtained distribution is fitted with the same function as the data
- 4) The acceptance correction is then:


$$\epsilon_j = \frac{N_{J/\psi}|_{j/REC}}{N_{J/\psi}|_{j/RAD}}$$



Radiative correction


- 1) Jpsi samples without radiative effects are produced
- 2) The radiative correction is defined using the GEN kinematics as:

$$\epsilon_{Rad/j} = \frac{N_{J/\psi} \big|_{j/RAD}}{N_{J/\psi} \big|_{j/GEN}}$$





Selection cut systematics

- Every step of the analysis, except normalization factor, is repeated with different cuts:
 - Q^2 **DONE**
 - $|MM|^2$ **DONE**
 - Fit function **DONE**
 - Lepton momenta cut **To be done**
 - Lepton ID cut **To be done**
 - Proton PID **To be done**




→ Implementation of ad-hoc smearing to reproduce resolution in MC and reduce this systematic



→ Variation of the signal function to be added


Radiative correction effect

- The standard CS is extracted using the Radiated Jpsi MC samples and radiative correction
- The alternate is using non-radiated MC samples
- The effect is of the order of 10% (GlueX quoted 8.5%)




+ Closure test (Implemented but not presented here)

Bin volume correction



$$\left. \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \right|_j = \frac{N_{J/\psi/j}}{\mathcal{F}_j \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \omega_{c/j} \cdot B_r \cdot \epsilon_j \cdot \epsilon_{Rad/j} \cdot \boxed{\mathcal{V}_j \cdot \Delta t_j}}$$



- In practice is this readily done using integral of functions in root



Integrated t-dependent cross-section

- The integral of the t-dependent cross section is done bin-by-bin:
- And compared to the total CS

$$\sigma = \sum_j \left. \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \right|_j \cdot \Delta t_j$$




- Good agreement between integrated t-dependent CS and E_γ -dependent CS

Deuterium target and muon final state

[Back up](#)

- Deuterium data were taken by CLAS12 in 2019/2020.
- Opportunity to measure J/ψ production on (bound) neutron and (bound) proton.
- Alongside this analysis, a framework to explore the muon decay channel was developed.
- This effort is lead by R.Tyson from University of Glasgow.




Taken from R.Tyson PhD analysis, Univ. of Glasgow

Tagged J/ψ quasi-photonproduction with CLAS12

[Back up](#)

$$ep \rightarrow e' J/\psi p' \rightarrow e' l^+ l^- (X)$$

- Analysis conducted by M.Tenorio Pita, ODU.
- In this case, one electron in the Forward Tagger (Low lab angle $< 5^\circ$) and a lepton pair in CLAS12.
- Excellent cross-check of the quasi-photonproduction approach.
- Early results show low statistics, the new data “cooking” including better tracking efficiency will be beneficial for this analysis.
- Other event topologies will be explored.



Other potential J/ψ analysis using CLAS12 data

- Available data for longitudinally polarized proton target