## **Replies to Winter2020 pass1 review**

Recommendation:

There was a discrepancy between the number of electrons in sector 4 shown in the sampling fraction plots (Yordanka) and in timelines (Electrons/trigger). The number of entries in the sampling fraction plots was not presented, but visually it looked like the number of electrons in sector 4 was much higher than in other sectors. The timelines showed the sector 4 had the lowest number of electrons/trigger. This discrepancy must be understood before pass1 starts.

## We investigated the monitoring code, which produces also the plots fitted in the timelines. Let us call:

- Ne1: Number of electrons in the sampling fraction plots,
- Ne2: Number of electrons in the plots used by the timelines,
- Ntrig: number of triggers in the plots used by the timelines.
- Ne1 and Ne2 have different definitions in the monitoring code:
- Ne1: number of trigger electrons for which there is REC::Calorimeter bank and abs(vz+3)<12 and p>1.5 GeV/c.
- Ne2: number of trigger electrons for which there is a PCAL entry, DC entry and a matching track in TimeBasedTrkg::TBTracks. The selection criteria seem to be more restrictive for Ne2, but the minimum momentum cut for Ne1 may lead to a larger Ne2 than Ne1. However, the lower ratio Ne2/Ntrig for sector 4 seems to be primarily due to the <u>larger Ntrig for that sector</u>.
- If the committee deems it necessary, we can investigate more to try and understand why S4 had more triggers.

However, the sector-by-sector variations we observe in the electron/trigger yields are compatible with what was observed in the other RGB run periods.



Comment:

1. The forward DC has not been calibrated for this run period. Calibration constants from RG-K have been used instead, resulting to a larger spread in means and wider widths of residuals. This is a re-occurring situation with DC calibration and should be fixed for the final processing (pass2) of any data set. We believe, no matter how small changes in the calibration constants are, all the detectors must be calibrated using the run(s) of the given run period.

At the closeout, we have been informed that DC was calibrated overnight using one of RG-B runs. The review committee will appreciate to see a new timelines of residuals (mean and widths).

A new pass0 cooking was done after DC calibration, full timelines can be found at: <u>https://clas12mon.jlab.org/rgb/pass0/v25.18\_prod/tlsummary/</u> And they are to be compared to the previous ones: <u>https://clas12mon.jlab.org/rgb/pass0/v25.14\_prod/tlsummary/</u> <u>Comparisons for the required DC plots are provided in the next two slides</u> Improvement (~100 microns) in the sigma of the residuals, in particular for SL3 and SL4 The means show shifts by 50-100 microns, depending on SL and sector No evident changes in the calibration quality and stability of the calibrations of the other subdetectors



DC residuals (mean) per sector per superlayer



NEW

**OLD** 





sec4 sl6

sec5 sl1

sec5 sl2

sec5 sl3

sec4 sl4

sec6 sl6

sec6 sl5

sec3 sl3

sec5 sl6

sec3 sl1

sec5 sl4

sec3

sec5 sl5

OLD

sec1 sl2

sec3 sl5

sec6 sl2

sec6 sl1

sec3 sl6

sec6 sl3

sec6 sl4

**NEW** 

Recommendation:

In the first presentation, two beam energies were quoted for the run period, 10.2 GeV and 10.4 GeV. The run was at a fixed beam energy of 10.4 GeV. It was a wrong BSY energy reading in EPICS at the beginning of the run period that ended up in RCDB. The beam energy must be corrected to 10.4 GeV in RCDB for the initial period of the run.

We communicated the run range to be corrected to Sergei, who is the only one having the permissions to modify RCDB. He replied that he needs a script from Harut. Harut sent the script. Sergei said he'll do it ASAP.

Comment:

• With current farm load, and without competition from other CLAS12 run groups for data processing resources, the whole data set will be processed in about 1.5 - 2 month time frame. The skim output, about 6 TB, will be available for physics analysis either on volatile or on cache disks.

• Plans for storing skims for physics analysis – volatile vs. cache

We will put the skims first on volatile, have people check them and start their analyses. If all is good, the trains can be copied on tape (except J/psi, which is being optimized).

Comments:

• Run group developed a new optimized skims for physics analysis. There should be a unified plan for redoing skims with the latest wagons for the whole RG-B data set.

• Define the main physics reactions that likely to produce publications from the pass1 processed data

The latest, optimized wagon, has been run on all the Fall19 and Spring19 data. It will also be used for Winter during the cooking. All RGB data will have the same skim definition

- nDVCS and pDVCS will publish using these data. A draft of analysis note should come within a couple of months
- SIDIS multilplicity analysis by Orlando won't be ready in a relatively short time (focus is on RGA first)
- BAND plans to publish using these data, analysis note is in progress
- Gmn analysis is more or less satisfied with the quality of the current data but progress is slow, no analysis note in the short term