POLARIZATION OBSERVABLES FROM THE PHOTOPRODUCTION OF ω MESONS USING LINEARLY POLARIZED PHOTONS

## Danny Martinez



Figure 1: • J. Barth *et al.*,  $\circ$  F.J. Klein *et al.*,  $\times$  ABBHHM, and  $\Box$  H.R. Crouch *et al.*,  $\triangle$  J.J. Manak (not used in the fitting procedure).



 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p$  Total Cross Section.

There are many baryon resonances that decay through vector meson channel.

They overlap because of their broad widths (~150 MeV).

N*	Status	SU(6) ⊗ O(3)	Parity
P11(938)	****	(56,0+)	+
$S11(1535)^{c}$	***	(70,1-)	
S11(1650)	***	(70,1)	
$D13(1520)^{c,d}$	****	$(70,1^{-})$	-
D13(1700)	***	$(70,1^{-})$	
D15(1675)	****	$(70,1^{-})$	
P11(1520)	****	(56,0+)	
$\mathbf{P11}(1710)^{5}$	***	(70,0*) 🗙	
P11(1880)		$(70,2^+)$	
P11(1975)	als dis als dis	$(20,1^+)$	
$P13(1720)^{s_{1}c}$	****	$(56,2^+)$	
$P13(1870)^{b}$	**	(70,2*)	
$P13(1910)^{a}$		$(70,2^+)$	+
P13(1950)		$(70,2^+)$	
P13(2030)	al de la la	(20,1+)	
$F15(1680)^{c,a}$	****	$(56,2^+)$	
$F15(2000)^{a}$	**	$(70,2^+)$	
F15(1995)		$(70,2^+)$	
F17(1990)	* *	(70,2+)	

Models predict more resonances than the ones that have been measured.

### s-channel resonances



## t-channel exchanges



 $\begin{array}{l} Processes\\ contributing to the\\ reaction\\ \gamma p \rightarrow \omega p \end{array}$ 



#### All models agree that:

 $\pi^0$  exchange (unnatural parity) in the t-channel plays a significant role in the cross section of the electro- and photoproduction of  $\omega$  mesons.

Baryon resonances contribute significantly to both the total and differential cross section in  $\omega$  electro- and photoproduction.

We urgently need polarized observables to disentangle which resonances and by how much these resonances contribute to the cross section.

#### The Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator facility CEBAF







## CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer



## G8b RUN

Target type: Liquid H2

Electron end-point energy: 4.544 GeV

E, at the coherent peak (GeV)	Events (billion)
1.3	1.5
1.5	1.5
1.7	1.5
1.9	1.0
2.1	1.0
Amorphous data	1.8



## SELECTION PROCESS

We start by requiring three particles in the final state: proton,  $\pi^+$ , and  $\pi^-$ .

The PID process encompasses cuts on the values for mass, beta, time, momentum and TOF time to best identify good events.

A track in the drift chambers and a coincidence in the TOF detector are required flags to accept a candidate event.



Cuts to the photon energy range are established for each data set.

The initial cuts for the data sets are:

1.3 GeV -> 1.1 to 1.325 GeV 1.7 GeV -> 1.3 to 1.525 GeV 1.7 GeV -> 1.5 to 1.725 GeV 1.9 GeV -> 1.7 to 1.925 GeV 2.1 GeV -> 1.8 to 2.125 GeV



A loose cut between -1.5 and 1.5 ns is performed. The plot shows  $\Delta t$  vs momentum.



A cut for  $\Delta\beta$  (as given by EVNT bank - calculated  $\beta$ ) is performed between -0.05 and 0.05.



To distinguish positively charged particles, i.e proton and  $\pi^+$  a cut from -1 to 1 ns is performed. The clusters of events around (+-2,+-2), (+-4,+-4) are due to photons associated with the wrong RF bucket.

### $\pi^0$ RECONSTRUCTION



A rough fit (Gaussian) to the  $\pi^0$  mass peak, found by using missing mass technique, from which the 3 to 5 sigma cut is made.

#### $\omega$ RECONSTRUCTION



The mass of the  $\omega$  meson is obtained by using the 4-momentum of the detected  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$ , and also from the reconstructed  $\pi^0$ .

#### $\omega$ RECONSTRUCTION



#### **Fitting function**:

- Voigtian function for the  $\omega$  signal.
- 4<sup>th</sup> degree polynomial for the background.
- No constraints to the parameters.

#### **BEAM ASYMMETRY EXTRACTION**

- 10 bins in Cos  $\Theta$  and
- 18 bins in  $\phi$  are used.

The asymmetry parameter was checked for three  $E_y$  values, for future comparison with the data obtained by P. Collins.

• 27 MeV wide  $E_v$  bins. The  $E_v$  bin cuts are:

 $1.861 < E_{\gamma} < 1.888$  $1.834 < E_{\gamma} < 1.861$  $1.807 < E_{\gamma} < 1.834$  The Beam Asymmetry is determined by fitting the ratio PERP-PARA/PERP+PARA for each Cos  $\Theta$  and E<sub>y</sub> bin, to a cosine 2 $\phi$  like function.

$$\sigma_{\perp} = \sigma_0 (1 + P_{\perp} \Sigma \cos 2\phi)$$
  

$$\sigma_{\parallel} = \sigma_0 (1 + P_{\parallel} \Sigma \cos 2\phi + \pi)$$
  

$$\sigma_{\parallel} = \sigma_0 (1 - P_{\parallel} \Sigma \cos 2\phi)$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{\perp} - \sigma_{\parallel}}{\sigma_{\perp} + \sigma_{\parallel}} = \frac{\left(\frac{N_{\perp}}{N_{\parallel}} - 1\right) - \left(\frac{N_{\perp}}{N_{\parallel}}P_{\perp} + P_{\parallel}\right)\Sigma\cos(2(\phi))}{\left(\frac{N_{\perp}}{N_{\parallel}} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{N_{\perp}}{N_{\parallel}}P_{\perp} - P_{\parallel}\right)\Sigma\cos(2(\phi))}$$

# $\omega$ beam asymmetry $2\sigma$ cut



# $\omega$ beam asymmetry $2\sigma$ cut



# $\omega$ beam asymmetry $2\sigma$ cut





#### ω beam asymmetry 3σ cut



#### ω beam asymmetry 3σ cut



#### ω beam asymmetry 3σ cut





## PATH FORWARD

#### • Extraction of $\Sigma$ .

We have determined  $\Sigma$  through one technique.

\*  $\varphi$  binning method.

And cross compared to:

\* Moments method (P. Collins).

They agree  $\rightarrow$  we have a good handle on our systematics.

\* Studies on the binning for both Cos  $\Theta$  and  $\phi$  are to be done.

\* Further studies have to be performed to reduce the background of the  $\omega$  meson and thus clean up the signal.

- \* 1.3, 1.5, and 1.7 data sets are yet to be studied.
- \* 2.1 data set is currently being analyzed.

## PATH FORWARD

The first goal is to compare  $\Sigma$  with more mature analysis (Patrick Collins).

Extraction of Spin Density Matrix Elements  $\rho^{\alpha}_{\mu}$  (SDME).

$$W^{L}(\cos\theta,\phi,\Phi) = W^{0}(\cos\theta,\phi) - P_{\gamma}\cos 2\Phi W^{1}(\cos\theta,\phi) - P_{\gamma}\cos 2\Phi W^{2}(\cos\theta,\phi)$$
with

$$\begin{split} W^{0}(\cos\theta,\phi) &= \frac{3}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \rho_{00}^{0} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( 3\rho_{00}^{0} - 1 \right) \cos^{2}\theta - \sqrt{2}Re\rho_{10}^{0} \sin 2\theta \cos\phi - \rho_{1-1}^{0} \sin^{2}\theta \cos2\phi \right] \\ W^{1}(\cos\theta,\phi) &= \frac{3}{4} \left[ \rho_{11}^{1} \sin^{2}\theta + \rho_{00}^{1} \cos^{2}\theta - \sqrt{2}\rho_{10}^{1} \sin 2\theta \cos\phi - \rho_{1-1}^{1} \sin^{2}\theta \cos2\phi \right] \\ W^{2}(\cos\theta,\phi) &= \frac{3}{4} \left[ \sqrt{2}Im\rho_{10}^{2} \sin 2\theta \sin\phi + Im\rho_{1-1}^{2} \sin^{2}\theta \sin2\phi \right] \end{split}$$

#### Σ will be used as a constraint for this SDMEs, since:

$$\Sigma = P_{\gamma} \frac{2(\rho_{11}^1 + \rho_{1-1}^1)}{1 - \rho_{00}^0 + 2\rho_{1-1}^0}$$

If Helicity is conserved in the s-channel, then only two of the nine SDMEs are nonzero:  $\rho_{1-1}^1 = 0.5$  and  $Im\rho_{1-1}^2 = 0.5$ , hence  $\Sigma = 1$  when  $P_{\gamma} = 1$  (with  $\theta, \phi$  determined in the helicity frame). Any deviation from this value is an indication that nondiffractive processes are present. If we assume natural parity as the production mechanism, then

$$\rho_{1-1}^1 = 0.5, \ \rho_{00}^1 = 0$$

If unnatural-parity exchange dominates, then

$$\rho_{1-1}^1 = -0.5, \ \rho_{00}^1 = 0$$