Pass1 vs Pass2 Comparison Two-Pion Channel Events

Krishna Neupane

USC, Columbia

Key Notes

- 1. Same 5 runs (006712, 006714, 006716, 006718, 006728) from each version of pass2 rga spring 19 data with cvt software are included, resIncl train is used
- 2. Most of the pid cuts are applied including fiducial DC and PCAL cuts
- 3. Two-Pion (ep \rightarrow e'p'pi+pi-) events from 4 different topologies are used

Exclusive Events

All four MMSQ peaks are Consistent along with invariant mass histogram.



Exclusive Events





Missing Proton Events





Missing Proton Events



One Particle Detected in Sentral Detector



Two Particles Detected in Central Detector

Summary

- 1. Overall increase in statistics/efficiency from pass1 to pass2 is significant in all topologies (missing Pim, missing Pip, missing Prot and exclusive) in this channel
- 2. The v1_25 has slightly more statistics than other versions, final version is comparable to v1_25 as well
- 3. Difference between measured and missing momentum is much closer in CD pass2 in comparison to CD pass1
- 4. Statistics increased for most of the cases. However, if we demand 2 or more particles in CD the statistics does not increases