¹ Suppression of neutral pion production in deep-inelastic scattering off nuclei with the ² CLAS detector

3	Taisiya Mineeva, ^{1,2,*} William K. Brooks, ^{1,3,4,5} K. Joo, ² H. Hakobyan, ^{1,3} Jorge A. López, ⁶ and O. Soto
4	¹ Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
5	2 University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269
6	³ Centro Científico y Tecnológico de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile
7	⁴ Instituto Milenio de Física Subatómica en la Frontera de Altas Energías, Santiago, Chile
8	⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA
9	⁶ Physikalisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
10	⁷ Universidad de La Serena, La Serena, Chile
11	(Dated: May 17, 2023)
12	We present the first three-fold differential neutral pion multiplicity ratios produced in semi-
13	inclusive deep inelastic electron scattering on carbon, iron and lead nuclei normalized to deuterium
14	from CLAS measurements at Jefferson Lab. We found that the neutral pion multiplicity ratio is
15	maximally suppressed for the leading hadrons (energy transfer $z \to 1$), varying from 25% on carbon
16	up to 75% in lead. An enhancement of the multiplicity ratio at low z and high p_T^2 is observed,
17	suggesting an interconnection between these two variables. This behavior is qualitatively similar
18	to the previous two-fold differential measurement of charged pions by the HERMES Collaboration.
19	However, in contrast to the published CLAS and HERMES results on charged pions, we observe the
20	largest enhancement at high p_T^2 for lightest nucleus - carbon and the lowest enhancement for the
21	heaviest nucleus - lead. This behavior suggests a competition between partonic multiple scattering,
22	which causes enhancement, and hadronic inelastic scattering, which causes suppression.

54

55

٠.	
~	

INTRODUCTION

Hadron formation in scattering processes creates new 24 gravitational mass from pure energy, linking the strong 25 and gravitational interactions. This connection, via the 26 energy-momentum tensor of Quantum Chromodynamics 27 (QCD), has recently been developed [1] and applied to 28 the description of experimental data [2–4], and most re-29 cently described with a relativistic treatment on the light 30 front [5, 6]. Hadron formation is one of the last frontiers 31 of QCD. While successful models of this process exist. 32 they only have a tenuous connection to the underlying 33 QCD origin of the process. The long distance scales 34 involved in hadron formation currently preclude use of 35 perturbative methods to calculate, for example, fragmen-36 tation functions (FF), which describe how color-carrying 37 quarks and gluons turn into color-neutral hadrons or pho-38 tons. The need for use of Minkowski space at high x_{Bj}_{68} 39 currently precludes lattice QCD calculations. 40 69

The kinematic region of lepton deep inelastic scattering 70 41 at high x_{Bi} , where x_{Bi} is the fraction of the proton mo- 71 42 mentum carried by the struck quark, offers a powerfully 72 43 simple interpretation compared to low x_{Bi} where quark ⁷³ 44 45 pair production dominates [7]. In the single-photon ex- 74 change approximation, a valence quark absorbs the full 75 46 energy and momentum of the virtual photon; thus, the 76 47 energy transfer ($\nu = E - E'$, in the lab frame) gives π 48 the initial energy of the struck quark, neglecting intrin-78 49 sic quark momentum, and neglecting Fermi momentum 79 50 of the nucleon for nuclear interactions. At the same ⁸⁰ 51 level of approximation, the initial direction of the struck ⁸¹ 52 quark is known from the momentum transfer of the colli- 82 53

sion, which provides a unique reference axis. For nuclear targets, this essentially creates a secondary "beam" of quarks of known energy and direction, for which the interaction with the nuclear system provides information at the femtometer distance scale.

An important experimental observable sensitive to the in-medium hadronization process - the complex process of the evolution of a struck quark into multiple hadrons - is the hadronic multiplicity ratio. It is defined as the normalized yield of hadron h produced on a heavy nuclear target A relative to a light target, e.g., deuterium D:

$$R_{\rm h}(\nu, Q^2, z, p_{\rm T}^2) = \frac{N_{\rm h}^{\rm A}(\nu, Q^2, z, p_{\rm T}^2)/N_{\rm e}^{\rm A}(\nu, Q^2)}{N_{\rm h}^{\rm D}(\nu, Q^2, z, p_{\rm T}^2)/N_{\rm e}^{\rm D}(\nu, Q^2)}.$$
 (1)

Here N_h is the number of hadrons produced in semiinclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) events, where, following electron scattering off the quark, the leading hadron is detected in addition to the scattered electron; N_e is the number of DIS electrons within the same inclusive kinematic bins for the numerator as for the denominator; Q^2 is the virtual photon 4-momentum transfer squared, ν is the energy transfer, z is the fractional hadron energy defined as $z = E_h/\nu$, and p_T^2 is the component of the hadron momentum transverse to the virtual photon direction; the dependence on ϕ_{pq} , the azimuthal angle of the hadron with the lepton plane, was integrated over. The hadronic multiplicity ratio, reflecting modification of the FF in nuclei compared to deuterium, quantifies the extent to which hadron production is enhanced or attenuated at a given value of the kinematic variables. In the absence of any nuclear effects this observable is equal to unity.

Nuclear SIDIS experiments have been performed in₁₃₆ 83 fixed-target conditions in facilities such as the Stanford₁₃₇ 84 Linear Accelerator Center - SLAC (E665), CERN Super138 85 Proton Synchrotron - SPS (EMC), Deutsches Elektro-139 86 nen Synchrotron - DESY (HERMES) and Jefferson Lab₁₄₀ 87 (CLAS). The study of nuclear SIDIS with fully identi-141 88 fied final state hadrons began with the HERMES pro-142 89 gram, which published a series of papers between 2001₁₄₃ 90 and 2011 [8-13], opening an era of quantitative studies₁₄₄ 91 of color propagation and hadron formation using nuclei₁₄₅ 92 as spatial analyzers. Multiplicity ratios were presented₁₄₆ 93 for various identified hadrons $(\pi^{\pm}, \pi^0, K^{\pm}, p, \bar{p})$ first₁₄₇ as one-fold functions of ν , Q^2 , z or p_T^2 , and later, in₁₄₈ 94 95 the final paper of this series, as two-fold differentials for₁₄₉ 96 charged hadrons. The one- and two-fold meson produc-150 97 tion data off nuclei can be described with some level of_{151} 98 success by models [14-28] using two in-medium ingredi-₁₅₂ 99 ents: (1) quark energy loss and (2) interactions of form- $_{153}$ 100 ing hadrons with the nuclear medium. Most models are₁₅₄ 101 based on only one of these ingredients, or they add these₁₅₅ 102 two ingredients classically. However, one model invoking₁₅₆ 103 interference processes gave qualitative indications that₁₅₇ 104 quantum mechanical effects could also play a role $[29]_{158}$ 105 The final HERMES paper of this series [13] underlines₁₅₉ 106 the importance of multi-differential cross sections, since₁₆₀ 107 charged-hadron multiplicity data displays nontrivial fea-161 108 tures that cannot be captured by a one-dimensional de-162 109 scription, particularly for the baryons. A comprehensive₁₆₃ 110 review can be found in Ref. [30]. 111 164

This paper presents the first multi-dimensional mea-165 112 surement of neutral pion multiplicity ratios in SIDIS₁₆₆ 113 kinematics. Neutral pions are substantially more diffi-167 114 cult to measure than charged pions due to more limited₁₆₈ 115 statistics and due to the presence of combinatorial back-169 116 grounds. While having a much more limited range in Q^2_{170} 117 and ν , the new data set has two orders of magnitude₁₇₁ 118 greater integrated luminosity than that of HERMES,172 119 dramatically increasing the statistical accuracy of the₁₇₃ 120 measurement. This allowed us to extend one-dimensional₁₇₄ 121 HERMES π^0 data measured up to mass number 131 [10],₁₇₅ 122 to three-dimensional data with mass numbers up to 208.176 123

124 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND DATA ANALYSIS

177

178

180

The data were collected during the EG2 run period₁₈₁ 125 in Hall B of Jefferson Lab using the CEBAF Large Ac-182 126 ceptance Spectrometer (CLAS) [31] and a 5.014 GeV₁₈₃ 127 electron beam. CLAS was based on a six-fold symmet-184 128 ric toroidal magnet, created by six large superconducting185 129 coils that divided the spectrometer into six independently₁₈₆ 130 instrumented sectors. The polarity of the toroidal field₁₈₇ 131 was chosen such that negatively charged particles were₁₈₈ 132 deflected towards the beam axis. CLAS had four types189 133 of detectors: drift chambers (DC) followed by Cerenkov₁₉₀ 134 counters (CC), time-of-flight (TOF) scintillators, and 191 135

electromagnetic shower calorimeters (EC). Photons from π^0 decay were measured in the EC at angles from about 8 to 45 degrees.

One key ingredient in reducing systematic uncertainties of the multiplicity ratios was the use of a dual-target. The target system consisted of a 2 cm liquid-deuterium cryotarget separated by 4 cm from independently insertable solid targets (see Ref. [32]). The center of the liquid target cell and the solid target were placed 30 cm and 25 cm upstream of the CLAS center, respectively, in order to increase acceptance for negatively charged particles.

Since the electron beam passed simultaneously, first through the cryotarget and then through one of the solid targets, time-dependent systematic effects were reduced. Furthermore, the close spacing of the two targets compared to the large dimensions of the CLAS detector minimized detector acceptance differences between the solid and deuterium targets.

We measured the SIDIS reaction $e + A \rightarrow e' + \pi^0 + X$, where e and e' are the incident and scattered electrons, respectively, and X is the undetected part of the hadronic final state. Since the π^0 decays almost instantaneously into two photons ($\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$), we selected events with one scattered electron and at least two photons. The invariant mass of the two-photon system was used to identify π^0 candidates.

The scattered electrons were selected in the following ranges: $1.0 < Q^2 < 4.1 \text{ GeV}^2$, $2.2 < \nu < 4.25 \text{ GeV}$ and W > 2 GeV. We required $Q^2 > 1$ GeV² and W > 2 GeV to probe nucleon structure in the DIS regime and reduce resonance region contributions; we required $\nu < 4.25$ GeV ($y = \frac{\nu}{E} < 0.85$) to reduce the size of radiative effects. These cuts also ensure $x_{Bj} > 0.1$, so that we are probing valence quarks in the target nucleon. Detector acceptance and experimental statistics limit the π^0 kinematics to: 0.3 < z < 1.0 and $0 < p_T^2 < 1.5$ GeV². The event phase space was divided into two sets of threefold differential multiplicity ratios with: 1) a total of 108 bins in (ν, z, p_T^2) integrated over Q^2 2) a total of 54 bins in (Q^2, ν, z) integrated over p_T^2 . These choices were based on the physics of interest and on the available statistics.

We selected electrons by requiring a negatively charged particle with a good track in the DC and a signal in the TOF and EC. We further required a signal in the CC with a mirror number matching the particle angle, a signal in the EC matching the particle energy (with sector- and momentum-dependent cuts on the sampling fraction), a minimum energy deposited in the layer of the EC, and a coincidence time matching between the EC and TOF signals. We eliminated regions near the detector acceptance edges with non-uniform tracking efficiency in the DC and transverse shower leakage in the EC. We used the intersection of the electron track with the plane containing the ideal beam position to determine the origin of the scattering event, corresponding to



FIG. 1. Left: The number of events plotted versus the two-photon (π^0 candidate) invariant mass in a particular (ν , z, p_T^2) bin, showing the fit to a scaled mixed background (red) plus Gaussian. Right: The number of events plotted versus invariant mass for the corresponding mixed background fitted with a 4th order polynomial. The total signal plus background fit function is: $p[0] \cdot (p[1]+p[2]\cdot x+p[3]\cdot x^2+p[4]\cdot x^3+p[5]\cdot x^4)+p[6] \cdot exp(\frac{-(x-p[7])^2}{2\cdot p[8]^2})$, where p_0 determines the background normalization, p_1-p_5 are fixed by the mixed-event fit, and p_6-p_8 are free parameters corresponding to the normalization, μ and σ of the Gaussian peak function. The fitting procedure was performed twice: first in the range $0.03 < M_{\gamma\gamma} < 0.25$ GeV to provide an estimate of μ and σ (corresponding to the notation of coefficients p[7] and p[8] of left plot), and then in the range $(-5\sigma, +5\sigma)$ as indicated by the length of the red curve. The number of π^0 events is then calculated from the height of the Gaussian.

either the deuterium or nuclear targets. During the run,221
the beam was offset from its ideal position, introducing222
sector-dependent effects in the vertex reconstruction. We223
used electron-proton elastic scattering to determine the224
beam offset and used this to correct the reconstructed225
interaction vertex for each event. 226

Once an event with a good electron was identified, we $^{\rm 227}$ 198 considered all the neutral hits in the EC with minimum₂₂₈ 199 uncorrected energy $E_{\gamma} > 0.3$ GeV. We separated pho-229 200 tons from neutrons by cutting on the difference from the₂₃₀ 201 expected photon arrival time $\Delta t = t_{EC} - l_{EC}/30$ -231 202 t_{start} , where t_{EC} is the arrival time at the EC in ns,²³² 203 l_{EC} is the distance from the target to the EC hit in cm,²³³ 204 the speed of light is 30 [cm/ns] and t_{start} is the event²³⁴ 205 time at the target as determined from the electron [33].235 206 To avoid transverse shower energy leakage, we rejected 207 events at the edge of the EC. We rejected photons de-208 tected within 12° of the electron track to remove photons²³⁶ 209 from bremsstrahlung radiation. We corrected the mea-210 sured photon energy for a small momentum dependence237 211 of the EC sampling fraction to improve π^0 resolution [33].238 212 We reconstructed π^0 candidates from all pairs of photons₂₃₉ 213 detected in each event and histogrammed the result as a₂₄₀ 214 function of π^0 invariant mass (see Fig. 1). After photon₂₄₁ 215 energy correction, the π^0 candidate minimum energy was₂₄₂ 216 $E_{\pi^0} > 0.5 \text{ GeV}.$ 217

Finally, to calculate the number of π^{0} 's, the two-244 photon invariant mass spectrum was fit with a Gaus-245 sian peak function plus a polynomial background (see246 Fig. 1). Since the background in the two-photon invariant mass spectrum was combinatorial, we used an event mixing technique that consisted of combining photons from uncorrelated events. However, the resulting spectrum did not describe the backgrounds well. We therefore only combined photons from kinematically matched events. This new technique described the backgrounds well across all kinematics. A detailed description of the improved event-mixing technique can be found in [33]. We fit the resulting event-mixed background spectrum with a 4th-order polynomial. We then froze those parameters and fit the signal plus background spectrum with a constant times the background polynomial plus a three-parameter Gaussian. The number of π^0 's was then calculated from the integral of the Gaussian function.

Corrections

The multiplicity ratio of Eq. 1 can be described as the super-ratio of the hadron number ratio for nucleus A and deuterium normalized by the electron number ratio for the same two nuclei. Corrections to the electron number ratio include: (i) acceptance correction factors due to electron acceptance in deuterium relative to the solid targets: these decrease the multiplicity ratio of a percent up to 8%; (ii) radiative corrections due to internal radiation: these increase the multiplicity ratio up to 3%; (iii) radiative corrections due to coulomb distortion in the



FIG. 2. π^0 multiplicity ratios for C, Fe, and Pb in (ν, z, p_T^2) bins plotted as a function of p_T^2 in bins of ν (top horizontal line) and z (indicated by the color). Points are shifted for ease of visualization around the mean value of p_T^2 . Statistical uncertainties are indicated by black vertical lines; systematic uncertainties by the color bars. Horizontal uncertainties are related to the size of the bin: while for most bins in p_T^2 they are the same for each bin in z and target, a few bins have smaller uncertainty bands related to the interval of data significance in the bin.

field of the nucleus: these decrease the multiplicity ratio₂₆₂ 247 by 0 to 4% with the largest corrections for Pb. Inclusive₂₆₃ 248 radiative corrections due to internal radiation are associ-264 249 ated with bremsstrahlung off the nucleon from which the₂₆₅ 250 scattering took place and were calculated based on the₂₆₆ 251 Mo and Tsai formalism [34]. Calculation of the of the₂₆₇ 252 Coulomb corrections was based on the effective momen-268 253 tum approximation [35]. Both corrections are incorpo-269 254 rated in the EXTERNAL code [36]. Additionally, there270 255 were radiative corrections due to external radiation, asso-271 256 ciated with bremsstrahlung in the target material; those₂₇₂ 257 were incorporated in the GEANT simulations and were273 258 accounted for by applying acceptance correction factors.274 259 Corrections applied to the π^0 number ratio include:²⁷⁵ 260 (i) acceptance correction factors, which change the mul-²⁷⁶ 261

tiplicity ratio depending on the binning: from -17% to +8% for (ν, z, p_T^2) bins and from -14% to +4% for (Q^2, ν, z) binning; (ii) radiative corrections for SIDIS π^0 , which were calculated with the HAPRAD code [37] that was modified using empirically derived nuclear structure functions. These corrections affect the multiplicity ratio by less than 0.5%. The combined effect of radiative corrections on the multiplicity ratio from both the leptonic and hadronic number ratios does not exceed 4.8%. Finally, we calculated corrections due to the presence of the 15 μ m aluminum entrance and exit walls (endcaps) of the liquid-deuterium target cell. The endcaps affect measurements of electrons and π^0 from the liquid-deuterium target. This correction decreased the multiplicity ratio by less than 1%.



FIG. 3. π^0 multiplicity ratios for C, Fe, and Pb in (Q^2, ν, z) bins plotted as a function of z. Each one of the six bins in z contains 9 points corresponding to the 3 bins of ν and 3_{319} bins in Q^2 . Each of the 9 points in z is shifted around the center value of the bin; the points, plotted together with its statistical and systematic uncertainties, are enclosed in a box³²⁰ to improve the visualization. The center of the box is the³²¹ center of the z bin, and the outermost uncertainty of each set₃₂₂ defines the height of the box. Additionally, for the purpose₃₂₃ of visualization, each target has a band drawn around the³²⁴ average with the width corresponding to the average of all³²⁵ measurements performed in each z-bin.

327

328

329

We obtained acceptance correction factors by generat- $_{330}$ 277 ing DIS events using the LEPTO 6.5.1 [38] Monte Carlo₃₃₁ 278 event generator, modified to include nuclear Fermi mo-332 279 tion of the target nucleon according to the Ciofi-Simula₃₃₃ 280 parametrization [39]. The CLAS detector response was₃₃₄ 281 simulated with the GSIM package, based on GEANT3,335 282 which also includes the locations and materials of the $_{336}$ 283 dual-target. Acceptance corrections were calculated on $a_{_{337}}$ 284 bin-by-bin basis as the ratio of the number of generated $_{\scriptscriptstyle 338}$ 285 events (electrons or π^0) to the number of reconstructed 286 events per bin per target (solid or deuterium). Using₃₄₀ 287 simulations, we also removed a small number of bins that $_{_{341}}$ 288 have significant bin migration effects, or, in other words, $_{\scriptscriptstyle 342}$ 289 low purity. 290 343

The sources of systematic uncertainties include: (i)³⁴⁴ electron identification: target selection cuts, EC sam-³⁴⁵ pling fraction cuts, π^- contamination, DC fiducial cuts,³⁴⁶ and electron radiative corrections; (ii) photon identifica-³⁴⁷ tion: cut on minimum energy deposited in EC, time cut₃₄₈ Δt , EC fiducial cuts; and (iii) π^0 identification: back-³⁴⁹ ground and signal shapes of the invariant mass distribu-³⁵⁰

tion, acceptance corrections, and SIDIS radiative corrections. Systematic uncertainties were evaluated independently for each set of bins, (ν, z, p_T^2) or (Q^2, ν, z) , for each ratio of C, Fe, and Pb targets to D. They were then applied either as a normalization or as a bin-by-bin uncertainty. The largest contribution to the normalizationtype uncertainty came from target vertex identification (target selection). It results in 3.1%, 2.4% and 2.3%, for C, Fe and and Pb, respectively, in the (ν, z, p_T^2) set of bins, and slightly smaller values for the (Q^2, ν, z) bins. The dominant source of the bin-by-bin systematic uncertainty is the π^0 invariant mass fit. This uncertainty includes both uncertainties on the background and signal shapes ranging on average from 1.4% for Fe in (Q^2, ν, z) bins to 4.7% for Pb in (ν, z, p_T^2) bins. The total average systematic uncertainties, including total normalization and bin-by-bin uncertainties in (Q^2, ν, z) , are 5.0%, 4.9% and 6.9% for C, Fe and Pb multiplicities correspondingly; in (ν, z, p_T^2) they average to 7.1%, 7.1% and 9.6% for C, Fe and Pb, respectively. The average statistical uncertainty is typically several percent less.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The measured three-fold multiplicity ratios of neutral pions in C, Fe and Pb are shown for bins of (ν, z) as a function of p_T^2 integrated over Q^2 (see Fig. 2) and for bins of (Q^2, ν) as a function of z integrated over p_T^2 (see Fig. 3). The data show increasing suppression for higher mass number corresponding to larger nuclei. The common trend for all three targets, as clearly observed in Fig. 3, is flat behavior of the multiplicity ratios in the range 0.3 < z < 0.65 and monotonic decrease for higher z. The dependence on nuclear size indicates a path length-dependent process: for the smallest nucleus, carbon, suppression ranges from $\sim 10\%$ to $\sim 25\%$, while for the largest nucleus, lead, the suppression ranges from 50% for moderate z reaching up to \sim 75% at the highest z. From Fig. 3 we effectively observe no dependence on energy and momentum transfer to the system, *i.e* Q^2 and ν , in the range of our kinematics within the uncertainties of the measurement. However, our Q^2 and ν range is much less than that of HERMES.

Figure 2 shows the dependence of multiplicity ratio on p_T^2 in bins of z and ν . The global trend for all three targets is the enhancement of $R_{\rm h}$ at high p_T^2 and, again, an overall decrease with increasing z. $R_{\rm h}$ has a pronounced dependence on p_T^2 in correlation with z. The ratio is independent of p_T^2 for all values of z for $p_T^2 < 0.6 \text{ GeV}^2$; it increases rapidly for large p_T^2 and small z to values that exceed unity. The largest enhancement of $R_{\rm h}$ is observed for the lightest nucleus, carbon, at the lowest ν bin, while the smallest enhancement is seen for lead at highest ν .

This suppression of neutral pions agrees quantitatively with the suppression observed in measurements

of charged pions from the same CLAS dataset [40], and 404 351 from previously published HERMES results [10, 13]. In₄₀₅ 352 modern versions of energy loss models [41], the overall⁴⁰⁶ 353 attenuation as a function of z and the nuclear size is₄₀₇ 354 related to the assumption that the propagating quark₄₀₈ 355 emits multiple gluons and rescatters as it transverses₄₀₉ 356 the nuclear medium; the larger the nucleus, the more $_{410}$ 357 gluon emission and quark energy loss it has. In the ab-411 358 sorption types of models, for example, the color $dipole_{412}$ 359 model [14], the main source of hadron suppression is_{413} 360 related to in-medium attenuation of the colorless pre-414 361 hadrons due to the length contraction of the propagat- $_{415}$ 362 ing quark; this model also incorporates induced quark $_{416}$ 363 energy losses. In the framework of the GiBUU trans-417 364 port model [16], largely based on elastic and inelastic₄₁₈ 365 pre-hadronic final-state interactions, overall attenuation₄₁₉ 366 is understood in terms of pure hadron absorption due to_{420} 367 increased interaction time with the nuclear medium. 368

The pattern of p_T^2 enhancement, observed in Fig. 2, is₄₂₂ 369 often referred to as a type of Cronin effect [42]. It was_{423} 370 first observed in the measurements by EMC [43], later by $_{424}$ 371 FNAL [44], and further confirmed by HERMES [13]. The₄₂₅ 372 nuclear dependence of the Cronin effect that we observe $_{426}$ 373 for neutral pions opposite to that measured for the one_{427} 374 measured for charged pions from CLAS and HERMES. $_{\scriptscriptstyle 428}$ 375 Theoretically, the Cronin effect has been explained in_{429} 376 terms of multiple parton scattering prior to its fragmen-430 377 tation. In the limit $z \to 1$, the lifetime of the propagating_{a1} 378 quark vanishes as it is not allowed to lose any energy and,432 379 thus, cannot accumulate transverse momentum through $_{\scriptscriptstyle\! 433}$ 380 re-scattering. On the other hand, the low z regime per-434 381 tains to the opposite behavior that leads to the enhance- $_{435}$ 382 ment of transverse momenta. Such a scenario also sug_{436} 383 gests that the attenuation in the limit $z \to 1$ is purely₄₃₇ 384 due to hadron absorption. The dependence of the Cronin 385 effect on the nuclear size points to a competition between $_{439}$ 386 partonic multiple scattering, which causes enhancement,440 387 and hadronic inelastic scattering, which causes $suppres_{-441}$ 388 sion. 389 442

competition between partonic multiple scattering, which causes enhancement, and hadronic inelastic scattering, which causes suppression. Both effects, suppression and enhancement of multiplicity ratios, are largely independent of Q^2 , while the Cronin effect shows a modest dependence on ν .

These data, once explored in the framework of existing theoretical models, will provide detailed information on the dynamics of partonic multiple scattering and inmedium hadron interactions, allowing for better characterization of their relative contributions. These measurements will be extended in the near future with an 11 GeV electron beam in the approved Jefferson Lab experiment E12-06-117 [45]. Offering a wider range in Q^2 and ν and higher luminosity, a wealth of new physics will be available, for example: access to the quark mass dependence of the hadronization with GeV-scale meson formation, extraction of four-fold multiplicities for a large spectrum of hadrons, and searches for di-quark correlations in baryon formation [46]. With its collider energies and largely extended range in kinematical variables, the proposed eA program at the EIC [47] will access completely new information on hadronization mechanisms, such as, clean measurements of medium induced energy loss in the regime where hadrons are formed outside the nuclear medium and studies of potentially very different hadronization properties of heavy mesons.

We acknowledge the outstanding efforts of the staff of the Accelerator and the Physics Divisions at Jefferson Lab in making this experiment possible. This work is supported by the Chilean Agencia Nacional de Investigacion y Desarollo (ANID), FONDECYT grants No.11181215 and No.1221827, No.1161642 and No.1201964, ANID PIA/APOYO AFB180002, and by the ANID-Millennium. Science Initiative Program -ICN2019_044. The Southeastern Universities Research Association (SURA) operates the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177.

390

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we presented the first differential π^0 mul-⁴⁴³ 391 tiplicity ratios produced in SIDIS off D, C, Fe and Pb^{444} 392 with a 5.014 GeV electron beam and measured with the 445 393 CLAS detector. The results were reported in two sets of_{447}^{+++} 394 bins: $R_{\rm h}(\nu, z, p_T^2)$ and $R_{\rm h}(Q^2, \nu, z)$. As expected, the⁴⁴¹₄₄₄ 395 data show a larger suppression of $R_{\rm h}$ for higher atomic₄₄₉ 396 number. The suppression is constant for moderate z and 450397 then decreases rapidly for leading hadrons (z > 0.65);⁴⁵¹ 398 the maximum suppression varies from 25% on carbon to $^{\scriptscriptstyle 452}$ 399 75% on lead. The multiplicity ratio $R_{\rm h}$ is enhanced for $^{453}_{454}$ 400 large p_T^2 and small z. This enhancement is the largest for a_{455} 401 carbon and the smallest for lead. Such behavior is oppo-456 402 site to the published HERMES results, which suggests a₄₅₇ 403

* taisiya.mineeva@usm.cl

- M. V. Polyakov and P. Schweitzer, International Journal of Modern Physics A 33, 1830025 (2018).
- [2] V. Burkert, L. Elouadrhiri, and F. Girod, Nature 557, 396 (2018).
- [3] K. Kumerički, Nature **570**, E1 (2019).
- [4] H. Dutrieux, C. Lorcé, H. Moutarde, P. Sznajder, A. Trawiński, and J. Wagner, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 300 (2021), arXiv:2101.03855 [hep-ph].
- [5] A. Freese and G. A. Miller, Physical Review D 103 (2021), 10.1103/physrevd.103.094023.
- [6] A. Freese and G. A. Miller, "Genuine empirical pressure within the proton," (2021), arXiv:2104.03213 [hep-ph].
- [7] V. Del Duca, S. J. Brodsky, and P. Hoyer, Phys. Rev. D 46, 931 (1992).

- [8] A. Airapetian, H. Bulten, W. Hesselink, A. Laziev, 504
 J. Martin, F. Schmidt, M. Simani, E. Thomas, J. van505
 den Brand, and J. de Visser, European Physical Journal506
 C. Particles and Fields 20, 479 (2001). 507
- [9] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Physics508
 Letters B 577, 37 (2003). 509
- [10] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Nucl. 510
 Phys. B **780**, 1 (2007), arXiv:0704.3270 [hep-ex]. 511
- 466 [11] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Phys.512
 467 Rev. Lett. 96, 162301 (2006), arXiv:hep-ex/0510030. 513
- 466 [12] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Phys.514
 469 Lett. B 684, 114 (2010), arXiv:0906.2478 [hep-ex]. 515
- 470 [13] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Eur.516
 471 Phys. J. A 47, 113 (2011), arXiv:1107.3496 [hep-ex]. 517
- 472 [14] B. Kopeliovich, J. Nemchik, E. Predazzi, and 518 473 A. Hayashigaki, Nuclear Physics A **740**, 211–245 (2004).519
- 474 [15] B. Guiot and B. Z. Kopeliovich, Physical Review C **102**₅₂₀ 475 (2020), 10.1103/physrevc.102.045201. 521
- 476 [16] K. Gallmeister and U. Mosel, Nuclear Physics A **801**,522 477 68–79 (2008). 523
- [17] T. Falter, W. Cassing, K. Gallmeister, and U. Mosel, 524
 Acta Physica Hungarica A) Heavy Ion Physics 27, 71–78, 525
 (2006). 526
- 481 [18] T. Falter, W. Cassing, K. Gallmeister, and 527
 482 U. Mosel, Physical Review C 70 (2004), 10.1103/phys-528
 483 revc.70.054609. 529
- ⁴⁸⁴ [19] T. Falter and U. Mosel, Fizika B **13**, 165 (2004),₅₃₀ ⁴⁸⁵ arXiv:nucl-th/0308073. ₅₃₁
- [20] T. Falter, W. Cassing, K. Gallmeister, and U. Mosel, 532
 Physics Letters B 594, 61–68 (2004). 533
- 488 [21] X.-N. Wang, Nuclear Physics A **702**, 238–248 (2002). 534
- ⁴⁸⁹ [22] J. Osborne and X.-N. Wang, Nuclear Physics A **710**,₅₃₅ ⁴⁹⁰ 281–302 (2002). ₅₃₆
- 491 [23] N.-B. Chang, W.-T. Deng, and X.-N. Wang, Physical₅₃₇
 492 Review C 89 (2014), 10.1103/physrevc.89.034911. 538
- ⁴⁹³ [24] A. Majumder, E. Wang, and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. ₅₃₉ ⁴⁹⁴ Lett. **99**, 152301 (2007). ₅₄₀
- ⁴⁹⁵ [25] B.-W. Zhang, X.-N. Wang, and A. Schäfer, Nuclear₅₄₁ ⁴⁹⁶ Physics A **783**, 551–554 (2007). 542
- ⁴⁹⁷ [26] X.-N. Wang, Nuclear Physics A **702**, 238–248 (2002). ₅₄₃
- 498 [27] Z.-B. Kang, E. Wang, X.-N. Wang, and H. Xing, Phys-544
 499 ical Review D 94 (2016), 10.1103/physrevd.94.114024. 545
- [28] W. K. Brooks and J. A. López, Physics Letters B 816,546
 136171 (2021).
- 502 [29] B. Z. Kopeliovich, H.-J. Pirner, I. K. Potashnikova,548
- I. Schmidt, A. V. Tarasov, and O. O. Voskresen-549

skaya, Physical Review C **78** (2008), 10.1103/physrevc.78.055204.

- [30] A. Accardi, F. Arleo, W. K. Brooks, D. D'enterria, and V. Muccifora, La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento 32, 439–554 (2009).
- [31] B. A. Mecking *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **503**, 513 (2003).
- [32] H. Hakobyan *et al.*, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment **592**, 218 (2008).
- [33] T. Mineeva, Hadronization Studies via Electroproduction off D, C, Fe, and Pb, Ph.D. thesis, University of Connecticut (2013).
- [34] L. W. Mo and Y. S. Tsai, Rev. Mod. Phys. 41, 205 (1969).
- [35] A. Aste, C. von Arx, and D. Trautmann, The European Physical Journal A 26, 167 (2005).
- [36] S. Dasu, P. De Barbaro, A. Bodek, H. Harada, M. Krasny, K. Lang, E. Riordan, L. Andivahis, R. Arnold, D. Benton, *et al.*, Physical Review D 49, 5641 (1994).
- [37] I. Akushevich, N. Shumeiko, and A. Soroko, Eur. Phys. J. C 10, 681 (1999).
- [38] G. Ingelman, A. Edin, and J. Rathsman, Computer Physics Communications 101, 108 (1997).
- [39] C. Ciofi degli Atti and S. Simula, Phys. Rev. C 53, 1689 (1996).
- [40] S. Moran *et al.* (CLAS), Phys. Rev. C **105**, 015201 (2022), arXiv:2109.09951 [nucl-ex].
- [41] A. Majumder and M. Van Leeuwen, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 66, 41 (2011), arXiv:1002.2206 [hep-ph].
- [42] J. W. Cronin, H. J. Frisch, M. J. Shochet, J. P. Boymond, P. A. Piroue, and R. L. Sumner, Phys. Rev. D 11, 3105 (1975).
- [43] J. Ashman *et al.* (European Muon Collaboration), Z. Phys. C 52, 1 (1991).
- [44] M. R. Adams *et al.* (E665), Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 5198 (1995), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 2020–2021 (1998)].
- [45] W. K. Brooks et al., "Quark propagation and hadron formation," https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/ 10/PR12-06-117.pdf (2010), a CLAS Collaboration proposal.
- [46] M. Barabanov *et al.*, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2020.103835.
- [47] R. A. Khalek *et al.*, "Science Requirements and Detector Concepts for the Electron-Ion Collider: EIC Yellow Report," (2021), arXiv:2103.05419 [physics.ins-det].