g14 analysis using new statistical data analysis methods

Dao Ho 03/07/2014

Outline

- **Boosted Decision Trees (BDT)**: a supervisedlearning classifier, i.e, the BDT is **trained** before can be applied to **classification** tasks
- Kernel Density Estimator (KDE): a smooth and continuous method to estimate density distributions
- **Bootstrap**: a data-resampling method to estimate standard deviation, or confidence interval

Decision Tree introduction: Ex: Data with 2 features (x,y). Events are classified as background or signal.



• Decision tree is similar to "cut" method

Y

- More advanced because it can classify high dimensional (>3) data simultaneously
- BOOSTED decision trees (better than a single tree) are very efficient (much better than the cut method)

Boosted Decision Tree (BDT):

- BDT: well-known, well-tested machine learning algorithm for classification tasks
- Use widely at CERN, incorporated into ROOT[1]
- Standard analysis tool for Hall D GlueX collaboration
- Introduced by Mike Williams (MIT) in several talks at GlueX meetings
- NOT this talk: explain the underlying mechanism of BDT (theory and implementation)
- This talk: illustration the steps of using BDT for event selection in g14 analysis
- This talk: showing an improved performance over standard cut method in g14 analysis.

BDT in action:

- **D** Background Subtraction: E asymmetry for $\gamma n(p_s) \rightarrow p\pi^-(p_s)$ reaction
- g14 targets have Al wires inside, and cell wall (background to remove)
- DON'T select events with LARGE spectator proton momentum \rightarrow USE CUTS
- g14 experiment had empty target run, used for background subtraction
- **BDT:** E asymmetry for $(\gamma n(p_s) \rightarrow p\pi^-(p_s))$ reaction
- Using empty run as **background training data**
- Used simulated $\gamma n(p_s) \rightarrow p\pi^-(p_s)$ reaction as signal training data
- Train the trees to develop algorithm for classification
- Check for overfitting
- Employed the trained trees for classifying signal, and background in g14 gold2 target run period
- **Compare the two methods**

Background subtraction:



Verified for empty run Y^{1/2} ≈Y^{3/2}

 $Y_{BG} = 1/2*(Y^{1/2}+Y^{3/2})*$ scaling factor

$$Y_{HD}^{1/2} = Y_{full}^{1/2} - Y_{BG} \qquad Y_{HD}^{3/2} = Y_{full}^{3/2} - Y_{BG}$$

 $E = (P_{\gamma} \times P_{target})^{-1} \times (Y_{HD}^{1/2} - Y_{HD}^{3/2}) / (Y_{HD}^{1/2} + Y_{HD}^{3/2})$

Background (BG) comes mainly from Al wires inside the target and KelF target cell.

Empty target runs to obtain BG distribution.

Steps:

3

1. Apply cuts to clean up gold2 target data.

→*Missing mass, missing momentum, coplanary angle, and target dimension cuts.*

- 1. Run the same analysis on empty target data.
- 2. Normalize the IBC flux with full target data and obtain the scaling factor.
 - Subtract scaled BG (from empty runs) to align yield $(Y^{3/2})$ and anti align yield $(Y^{1/2})$ of full target runs.

Procedure: TRAINING, CHECKING ,and APPLYING

- Empty target run as background and MC as signal training data sets
- Provide 11 variables for the BDT to use to develop classification algorithm



Signal and **background** training data **Ranking (how important) of variables for this classification task**

Procedure: TRAINING, CHECKING ,and APPLYING

- Empty target run as background and MC as signal training data sets
- Provide 11 variables for the BDT to use to develop classification algorithm



Signal and **background** training data **Ranking (how important) of variables for this classification task**

Procedure: TRAINING, CHECKING ,and APPLYING

• Forest of trees (500 trees) developed algorithm to recognize differences between two classes of data (signal/background)



Procedure: TRAINING, CHECKING ,and APPLYING

• Forest of trees (500 trees) developed algorithm to recognize differences between two classes of data (signal/background)



Procedure: TRAINING, CHECKING ,and APPLYING

• Forest of trees (500 trees) developed algorithm to recognize differences between two classes of data (signal/background)



Procedure: TRAINING, CHECKING ,and APPLYING

- Each event is given an output between -1 to 1.
- Closer to -1: more likely background, closer to 1: more likely signal
- Performance on test sample is similar on training sample \rightarrow GOOD



Procedure: TRAINING, CHECKING ,and APPLYING

- Each event is given an output between -1 to 1; A cut at zero is chosen
- →4% true background from BG data classified as signal (being selected)
 →80% true signal from signal data survived the cut at zero



Event selection using BDT: APPLYING





z-component of interaction vertex; this variable NOT used in training the BDT

Background subtraction vs. BDT:

GeV)	Total Events	Estimated BG]• t
-1.3	194,528	27,856	F
-1.3	347,293	32,993	• F
-1.7	73,959	10,126	· F
-1.7	134,476	12,775	• F
-2.1	25,149	3,472	→A
-2.1	44,960	4,271 🖌	rem

- Using gold2 period data for this check **Red points: BDT**
- Black points: Background subtraction
- BDT total events≈1.80 cut method total
- BDT remained BG ≈1.18 cut method BG
- \rightarrow A big gain in data with a small increase in remaining background



Background subtraction vs. BDT:



Kernel Density Estimator (KDE) introduction:



- KDE is a **non-parametric method** to estimate a probability density distribution (others is the histogram estimator)[2]
- Every data point X_i is "**smeared**" by a density function with mean at X_i (for example, Gaussian density function)
- KDE then "**sums up**" these distributions (one at each data point *X_i*) to estimate the underlying distribution that the *X_i*s were sampled from

Mathematical Formula for Kernel Density Estimator (KDE):

Example: Given 15 sample points below, divided into 3 bins (see figure); $X_i \in$ (0.0,6.0), $i \in \{1,2,3..., 14,15\}$

Sample Data Points (X _i)		
0.73	0.20	
0.51	1.24	
1.90	1.05	
1.48	2.50	
3.70	3.30	
4.80	5.00	
4.50	4.20	
5.80		

$$\hat{f}_h(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x-X_i}{h}\right)$$
 where

- X_i is the sample data points,
- h is the bandwidth (smoothing parameter),
- K(x) can be any symmetric density function.
- Often, $K(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x)^2}$, the normal distribution Ex:

$$\hat{f}_{h}(2.5) = \frac{1}{15h} \sum_{i=1}^{15} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} exp\left(-\frac{(2.5 - X_{i})^{2}}{2h^{2}}\right)$$

- $\hat{f}_d(x)$ is estimated using all data points (all X_i s).
- K(x) is smooth, so $\hat{f}_d(x)$ is smooth (continuous).

How to pick a good value for $h \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$ back up slide

Mathematical Formula for Kernel Density Estimator (KDE):





$$\hat{f}_h(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x-X_i}{h}\right)$$
 where

- X_i is the sample data points,
- h is the bandwidth (smoothing parameter),
- *K(x)* can be any symmetric density function.
- Often, $K(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x)^2}$, the normal distribution Ex:

$$\hat{f}_{h}(2.5) = \frac{1}{15h} \sum_{i=1}^{15} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} exp\left(-\frac{(2.5 - X_{i})^{2}}{2h^{2}}\right)$$

- $\hat{f}_d(x)$ is estimated using all data points (all X_i s).
- K(x) is smooth, so $\hat{f}_d(x)$ is smooth (continuous).
- h is strongly influenced on the resulting estimate,
 too large h obscures underlying structure
 too small h results in many fluctuations

Using KDE to "plot" E asymmetry:

Histogram and KDE for gold2, left is anti $(Y^{1/2})$, right is para $(Y^{3/2})$



$$E(x) = \frac{1}{pol} \frac{n^{\downarrow\uparrow} \hat{f}(x)_{\downarrow\uparrow} - n^{\uparrow\uparrow} \hat{f}(x)_{\uparrow\uparrow}}{n^{\downarrow\uparrow} \hat{f}(x)_{\downarrow\uparrow} + n^{\uparrow\uparrow} \hat{f}(x)_{\uparrow\uparrow}} \text{ where } n^{\uparrow\uparrow} = \text{total } \# \text{ events for para data, and}$$
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \hat{f}(x)_{\downarrow\uparrow} dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \hat{f}(x)_{\uparrow\uparrow} dx = 1$$

Using KDE to "plot" E asymmetry:

0.9 GeV<E_{γ}<1.0 GeV; gold2 run period

gold2 period using GAUSSIAN KERNEL



Bootstrap short introduction [3]

Measure value of X n times Estimate f(X)=mean $f(X_i)$ Need to estimate uncertainty

- Draw new samples from the true distribution, i.e, repeat the experiment m times.
- Estimate uncertainty from the m samples

[3] Probability and Statistics by DeGroot- Schervish

- CAN'T measure again, then
 sampling the n X_i with
 replacement m' times.
- Draw new samples from an approximate distribution (the data obtained)
- Estimate uncertainty by from the m' samples
- \rightarrow this is the bootstrap method

Plotting E asymmetry



 $0.9 \text{ GeV} \leq E_{\gamma} \leq 1.0 \text{ GeV}$; gold2 run period

gold2 period using GAUSSIAN KERNEL

- E curve from KDE method **agrees** well with histogram method for two different sets of binning.
- Histogram: compromise between a more precise measurement (small uncertainty) or a better representation of data (more bins).
- KDE does NOT have that issue, better choice for low statistics data, still has bias near boundaries.
- KDE makes plotting a **continuous error**, or **confidence level band** possible.

Future Works:

- Study and improve MC simulation for g14 run
- Use BDT for $K^0\Lambda$ and $K^0\Sigma^0$ selections
- Learn and implement bias reduction techniques for bounded data (cosine near ±1)
- Learn more advanced KDE methods to estimate low statistic data better
- Apply these new tools to low statistics $K^0\Lambda$ and $K^0\Sigma^0$ data

Back Up

Decision Tree introduction: training

Goal: To improve data "purity" after each node splitting using information entropy

$$Entropy(n_{sig}, n_{bg}) = -\frac{n_{sig}}{n_{total}} \log \frac{n_{sig}}{n_{total}} - \frac{n_{bg}}{n_{total}} \log \frac{n_{bg}}{n_{total}}$$

Pick a variable V_i and its cut value v from a <u>set of</u> variables to maximize the following formula:

$$Gain(n_{sig}, n_{bg}, V_i) = Entropy(n_{sig}, n_{bg}) - \sum_{A_1, A_2} \frac{n_{A_j}}{n_{total}} Entropy(n^{A_j}_{sig}, n^{A_j}_{bg})$$

where A_1 and A_2 are two sets of events separated by v (one set with $V_i < v$, the other with $V_i > v$),

 \rightarrow Pick the cut value v of variable V_i such that the percentage of signal or background events after splitting is larger than the original percentages.

 \rightarrow \rightarrow Repeat for all *Vs* and select one *V* with maximum gain

Decision Tree introduction: training

- Good: easy to understand, straight forward implementation, and no event removed.
- Bad: the tree can perfectly classify training data if given enough splittings; overfitting always occurs → highly sensitive to statistical fluctuation.

• Solution: limit amount of splittings, and BOOSTing

Boosting means using decision tree <u>multiple times</u> on <u>reweighted training</u> <u>data</u> to improve performance

→ Build a forest of many *different* decision trees

BOOSTED Decision Tree introduction:

Boosting: run decision tree multiple times on reweighted training data





- Each new tree is built differently due to having a new set of weights for all events
- Each new tree focuses more on wrongly classified events by previous trees

Mathematical Formula for Histogram Density Estimator:

Example: Given 15 sample points below, divided into 3 bins (see figure); $X_i \in (0.0, 6.0), i \in \{1, 2, 3 \dots, 14, 15\}$

Sample Data Points (X _i)		
0.73	0.20	
0.51	1.24	
1.90	1.05	
1.48	2.50	
3.70	3.30	
4.80	5.00	
4.50	4.20	
5.80		

histogram of 15 sample points



Draw back of histogram method (more severe with low-statistics data):

- Sensitive to bin width (bias toward data points closed to bin edges).
- Use only partial data for estimation. i.e., to estimate f(x) with $x \in B_i$ use only data points $X_i \in B_i$.
- $\hat{f}_d(x)$ is a discontinuous function (step function).

Define: $B_j = (x_0 + (j - 1)d, x_0 + jd)$

where x_0 is the origin, and d is bin width.

 $\hat{f}_{d}(x) = \frac{1}{nd} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\#bins} 1_{(X_{i} \in Bj)} 1_{(x \in Bj)}$

where X_i is the sample data points. $\hat{f}_d(x)$ is constant for all x in a same bin.

X_i : recorded sample data points; x: estimating points

Using Least Square Cross Validation to pick h:

Consider:

 $\hat{f}_h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{nh} K\left(\frac{x-X_i}{h}\right), f(x) \equiv \text{true density function}$ Define:

$$L_{h} = \int dx (\hat{f}_{h}(x) - f(x))^{2} = \int dx (\hat{f}_{h}(x))^{2} - 2 \int dx (\hat{f}_{h}(x)f(x)) + \int dx (f(x))^{2} \ge 0$$

$$\rightarrow \tilde{L}_{h} = \int dx (\hat{f}_{h}(x))^{2} - 2 \int dx (\hat{f}_{h}(x)f(x)) \geq - \int dx (f(x))^{2} = constant$$

$$\rightarrow \tilde{L}_{h} \approx \sum_{i}^{n} \sum_{j}^{n} \frac{1}{(nh)^{2}} \int K \left(\frac{x - X_{i}}{h}\right) K \left(\frac{x - X_{j}}{h}\right) dx - \frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{k \neq l}^{n} \sum_{l}^{n} \frac{1}{nh} K \left(\frac{X_{k} - X_{l}}{h}\right) \geq -const (*)$$

\rightarrow Find h which minimizes (*)

Advantage : non-parametric method to estimate the smoothing parameter h Disadvantage: computing intensive n² operations if there are many pairs of X_i, X_j with $X_i \approx X_j$, then $\tilde{L}_h \rightarrow -\infty$, as $h \rightarrow 0$; tends to pick small h (undersmooth)



gold2 period using GAUSSIAN KERNEL



gold2 period using GAUSSIAN KERNEL