

FIG. 10. The optimal set of SMC results of g_1 : (a) for proton and (b) for deuteron. Statistical errors are shown as error bars while the shaded band below indicates the systematic uncertainty. The $Q^2 > 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ result was obtained by combining the lowest three A_1 bins.

distributions from our NLO QCD analysis [14]. In the calculation of the total error we have taken into account that the value in the measured region affects the contributions from the unmeasured regions.

VII. THE NONSINGLET STRUCTURE FUNCTION g_1^{NS}

The flavor nonsinglet combination of the spin-dependent structure functions $g_1^{NS} = g_1^p - g_1^n$ is an interesting quantity because a rigorous QCD prediction exists for its first moment. This sum rule was derived, in the limit of infinite momentum transfer, by Bjorken [1] using current algebra and isospin symmetry.

A. Comparison of $g_1^p - g_1^n$ and $F_1^p - F_1^n$

In our experiment $g_1^p(x,Q^2)$ and $g_1^d(x,Q^2)$ are measured in the same bins of x and Q^2 . We evaluate $g_1^{NS}(x,Q^2)$ from

$$g_1^{\rm NS}(x,Q^2) = 2 \left[g_1^p(x,Q^2) - \frac{g_1^d(x,Q^2)}{[1 - (3/2)\omega_D]} \right], \quad (13)$$

where ω_D is the probability of the deuteron to be in the *D* state. As in our previous publications we have used $\omega_D = 0.05 \pm 0.01$, which covers most of the published values [27].

The results are given in Table XI with statistical and systematic errors. In calculating the systematic error the contributions from the beam polarization, the dilution factor, and R were treated as correlated between proton and deuteron, whereas the other contributions to the systematic error were treated as uncorrelated [28].

The results for g_1^{NS} are shown in Fig. 11, together with g_1^{NS} from the E143 experiment calculated from their values of g_1^p and g_1^d [29]. For both data sets the points are shown at the measured Q^2 . In the same figure we show the nonsinglet

TABLE VIII. The spin-dependent structure function g_1^p at the measured Q^2 and for $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, where the QCD evolution is applicable, g_1^p evolved to $Q_0^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$. The first bin, which has $Q^2 > 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$, was obtained by combining the lowest three A_1 bins from Table II. The first error is statistical and the second is systematic. In the last column the third error indicates the uncertainty in the QCD evolution.

x range	$\langle x \rangle$	$\langle Q^2 angle \ ({ m GeV}^2)$	g_1^p	$g_1^p(Q_0^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2)$
0.0008-0.003	0.002	0.5	$0.49 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.13$	
$\begin{array}{c} 0.003-0.006\\ 0.006-0.010\\ 0.010-0.020\\ 0.020-0.030\\ 0.030-0.040\\ 0.040-0.060\\ 0.060-0.100\\ 0.100-0.150\\ 0.150-0.200\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005\\ 0.008\\ 0.014\\ 0.025\\ 0.035\\ 0.049\\ 0.077\\ 0.122\\ 0.173\\ \end{array}$	1.3 2.1 3.6 5.7 7.8 10.4 14.9 21.3 27.8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.48 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.43 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.43 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.36 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.38 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.41 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.35 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.28 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.19 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.56 \\ 0.72 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.25 \\ 0.59 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.50 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.39 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.38 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.39 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.33 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.27 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.00 \end{array}$
0.200-0.300 0.300-0.400 0.400-0.700	0.242 0.342 0.480	35.6 45.9 58.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.17 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.07 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.22 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.18 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.09 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.00 \pm 0.00 \end{array}$