

Outline for Hall D Document for the 2021 Computing Review

1. Reconstruction

- Facilities
 - JLab farm
 - HPC Centers
 - Pittsburgh
 - NERSC
 - IU
 - Usage history since the last review
 - List run period = campaign, dates of processing, number of events at each site, CPU used at each site in millions of core-hours (MCH) at each site.
 - History of implementation
 - Legacy Python scripts for JLab farm
 - Interacts with SWIF
 - Job-tracking database
 - SWIF2
 - Adds capability of staging input files to remote sites
 - Manage disk space at HPC site
 - Enhancement to work on multiple files in on a single node to get better throughput[?]
 - Job-tracking database

2. Analysis

- Analysis Launch: take reconstructed data and create root trees for individuals to do physics analysis
- Structure:
 - All reconstructed data for given run period for each launch
 - Selected reactions per launch
 - Reaction specified by a text string
 - Show examples from web page
 - One-to-many: one run period, several reactions
 - Variation from launch-to-launch in a 3-D space
 - Run period
 - Set of reactions
 - Software version
 - Root trees produced, one per run
 - Processing jobs are by data file (about 200 files per run)
 - Trees merged in post processing

- Same set of scripts as for reconstruction to drive process
- Processing done on JLab farm
 - One to two weeks
 - It is I/O intensive[?]
 - Tape latency can dominate elapsed time[?]
- Statistics
 - Number of launches
 - Number of reactions
 - Data volume

3. Simulation

- Geant4 simulation in productions use
- Bulk of simulation runs on OSG
 - Large input files are not needed
 - Package to manage submissions: MCwrapper
 - Web page interface
 - Command line interface
 - Job-tracking database
 - Python/javascript/php/shell-script
- Statistics
 - Number of jobs
 - CPU time used

4. Future Computing Needs

- List the run periods
- List the CPU resource need per run period
- List disk resource need per run period
- List tape resource need per run period

5. Ideas for the Future

- data catalog
 - data validation
 - Needs to be coupled to workflow manager
- reconstruction on osg
- better continuous integration
- better comprehensive test
- unit testing
- Documentation
 - impacts students
- workflow management

- swif is big improvement over what we had before
 - need workflow manager that is coupled to data catalog
- Reconstruction on the OSG
 - Effort started
 - Must be managed by expert
 - Raw data must be staged from university cluster