Resolution Study for First Experiment  $K^+\Lambda$  channel, low  $Q^2$ ,  $E_{beam} = 6.4$  GeV

Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

University of Rome, Tor Vergata

November 27, 2017

## Outline

### 1 Trigger and Run Conditions

## 2 Kinematics

- 3 Run Conditions
  - Negative Outbending Torus Current
  - Negative Inbending Torus Current

#### Acceptance Table

#### 5 Distinguish Σ from Λ

# Trigger and Run Conditions

Process studied

$$e^- 
ho 
ightarrow e^- K^+ \Lambda$$

• Trigger Selection:

- ▶ 1 *e*<sup>-</sup> anywhere (maximum acceptance but not realistic)
- 1  $e^-$  in FT (excessive high rate)
- ▶ 1  $e^-$  in CLAS (Outbending: Q<sup>2</sup> > ~ 1 GeV<sup>2</sup>)
- ▶ 1  $e^-$  in CLAS or 1  $e^-$  in FT + 1 fwd ( $heta_{had}$  < 30°) hadron (p or K<sup>+</sup>)
- ▶ 1  $e^-$  in CLAS or 1  $e^-$  in FT + 2 fwd hadrons (p and K<sup>+</sup>)
- ▶ 1  $e^-$  in CLAS or 1  $e^-$  in FT + 1 had (p/K<sup>+</sup>/ $\pi^-$ ) anywhere (fwd + ctl)

#### • Run Conditions:

- ► E<sub>beam</sub> = 6.4 GeV, Torus Current = 100 % (Outbending, -3375 A), Solenoid = 60 %.
- ► E<sub>beam</sub> = 6.4 GeV, Torus Current = -100 % (Inbending, 3375 A), Solenoid = 60 %.

Kinematic

## Generated Angular Correlations



Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

# Generated and Reconstructed Particles Trigger: $1 e^{-}$ anywhere, Fiducial Cuts applied



Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

Kinematics

# Generated and Reconstructed $\pi^-$



Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

Kinematics

# Reconstructed Electron Energy in FT



Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

# Efficiency Curve



Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

## Resolution

#### **Trigger**: 1 *e*<sup>-</sup> anywhere, Fiducial Cuts applied Inclusive electron acceptance: FT: 47 % CLAS: 18 %



Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

Resolution Study for First Experim

#### Negative Outbending Torus Current

# MM Reconstruction

#### **Trigger**: 1 $e^-$ in FT (2.5° < $\theta_{e^-}$ < 4.5°) Inclusive electron acceptance: 47 %



Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

#### **Trigger**: 1 $e^-$ in CLAS ( $\theta_{e^-} > 6.0^\circ$ ) Inclusive electron acceptance: 18 %



## Resolution

#### **Trigger**: 1 $e^-$ anywhere, Fiducial Cuts applied Inclusive electron acceptance: FT: 47% CLAS: 6%



Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

Resolution Study for First Experim

# **MM** Reconstruction

# **Trigger**: 1 $e^-$ in FT (2.5° < $\theta_{e^-}$ < 4.5°)

Inclusive electron acceptance: 47%



Annalisa D'Angelo, Lucilla Lanza

Resolution Study for First Experimer

#### **Trigger**: 1 $e^-$ in CLAS ( $\theta_{e^-} > 6.0^\circ$ ) Inclusive electron acceptance: 6%



# Acceptance Table

	Negative	Negative	
Trigger	Outbending	Inbending	
1 e <sup>-</sup> FT	47%	47%	
$1 e^-$ CLAS	18%	6%	
$1 e^-$ anywhere	65%	54%	
$1 e^- FT + 1$ fwd had	7%	16%	
$1 e^- FT + 1$ ctl had	12%	19%	
$1 e^- FT + 2$ fwd had	0	1%	
$1 \ e^-$ CLAS $/1 \ e^-$ FT $+ 1$ forward had	25%	22%	
$1~e^-$ CLAS $/1~e^-$ FT $+~1$ had (ctl or fwd)	32%	31%	

Where:

1 fwd had= 1 forward hadron (p /  $K^+$  /  $\pi^-$ ) 1 ctl had= 1 central hadron (p /  $K^+$  /  $\pi^-$ )

# Acceptance for 1 $e^-$ CLAS $/1~e^-$ FT + 1 had anywhere, Negative Outbending

Acceptance for 1  $e^-$  CLAS /1  $e^-$  FT + 1 had:

Trigger	$pK^+$	$p\pi^-$	$\pi^- K^+$	${\sf p}\pi^- K^+$	1 had
$1 \; e^- \; CLAS/1 \; e^- \; FT + 1$ fwd had	7.7%	3.1%	3.6%	1.3%	23%
$1 \; e^- \; CLAS/1 \; e^- \; FT + 1 \; ctl \; had$	8.4%	3.6%	4.0%	1.4%	28%
$1 \ e^- \ \text{CLAS}/1 \ e^- \ \text{FT} + 1 \ \text{had} \ (\text{ctl/fwd})$	8.5%	3.6%	4.0%	1.4%	30%

Where:

1 fwd had= 1 forward hadron (p / K^+ /  $\pi^-)$ 

1 ctl had= 1 central hadron (p / K^+ /  $\pi^-)$ 

# Distinguish $\Sigma$ from $\Lambda$ : Negative Outbending Trigger: 1 $e^-$ FT

 $\Lambda^0_{mass}$ : 1115,683  $\pm$  0.006 MeV

#### $\Sigma^0_{mass}$ : 1192.642 $\pm$ 0.024 MeV



# Distinguish $\Sigma$ from $\Lambda$ : Negative Outbending Trigger: 1 $e^-$ CLAS

 $\Lambda_{mass}^{0}$ : 1115,683  $\pm$  0.006 MeV  $\Sigma_{mass}^{0}$ : 1192.642  $\pm$  0.024 MeV



# Distinguish $\Sigma$ from $\Lambda$ : Negative Outbending Trigger: 1 $e^-$ anywhere

 $\Lambda^0_{mass}$ : 1115,683  $\pm$  0.006 MeV  $\Sigma^0_{mass}$ : 1192.642  $\pm$  0.024 MeV



#### Trigger:

1 e^- in CLAS ( $\theta_{e^-} > 6.0^\circ$ ) or 1 e^- in FT ( $2.5^\circ < \theta_{e^-} < 4.5^\circ$ ) + 1 fwd hadron (p or  $K^+$  or  $\pi^-$ )



Fitting function: sum of 2 Lorentzians

$$f(x) = \frac{p_0}{(x-p_1)^2 + p_2^2} + \frac{p_3}{(x-p_4)^2 + p_5^2}$$

#### Trigger:

#### 1 $e^{-}$ in CLAS ( $\theta_{e^{-}} > 6.0^{\circ}$ ) or 1 $e^{-}$ in FT ( $2.5^{\circ} < \theta_{e^{-}} < 4.5^{\circ}$ ) + 1 hadron (p or $K^{+}$ or $\pi^{-}$ )



Fitting function: sum of 2 Lorentzians

$$f(x) = \frac{p_0}{(x-p_1)^2 + p_2^2} + \frac{p_3}{(x-p_4)^2 + p_5^2}$$

# Conclusions

#### **Evidences from simulations:**

- Electrons reconstructed from CLAS present a better resolution, but low acceptance.
- On the contrary, electrons from FT are reconstructed with worse energy resolution but with higher statistics.
- Using a trigger with 1  $e^-$  in CLAS or 1  $e^-$  in FT + 1 forward hadron  $(p/K^+)$  the global acceptance is lower than 25%.
- Adding the trigger from the central detector 1  $e^-$  in CLAS or 1  $e^-$  in FT + 1 central hadron (p/ $K^+/\pi^-$ ) the statistics increases by a factor 1.3