insert space

hyphens to be consistent with other parts of the document

0.1 Update on JEF experiment

1

The $\eta^{(\prime)}$ meson, with the quantum numbers of the vacuum, provides a unique, flavor-conserving laboratory to probe the isospin-topolating sector of low-45 ergy QCD and to search for new physics Beyond the Standard Model (BSM). The JEF experiment [1, 2] was approved by JLab Program Advisory Committee (PAC) in 2017 to perform precision measurements of various $\eta^{(\prime)}$ decays with emphasis on rare neutral modes, running in parallel with the GlueX experiment using an upgraded Forward Calorimeter (FCAL-II). Significantly boosted $\eta^{(\prime)}$ is produced through $\gamma + p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)} + p$ with an 8-12 GeV tagged photon beam. The $\eta^{(\prime)}$ decay photons ($\overline{\mathbf{Zr}}$ leptons) will be measured in an upgraded forward calorimeter with high-granularity, high-resolution PbWO₄ core in the central region that minimizes shower overlaps and optimizes invariant mass reconstruction. Non-coplanar backgrounds will be suppressed by tagging $\eta^{(\prime)}$ with recoil proton detection. Compared to the previous or planned η/η' experiments, such as A2-MAMI [3, 4], WASA-at-COSY [5], KLOE-II [7], BESIII [6] and the proposed future REDTOP [8], JEF is the only one producing highly $\eta^{(\prime)}$ so that its detection efficiency is insensitive to the detector thresholds, thus less prone to the experimental systematics. The capability of tagging every $\eta^{(\prime)}$ in combination with the upgraded calorimeter offers two orders of magnitude improvement in background suppression. JEF will simultaneously produce η and η' at the similar rate, $\sim 6 \times 10^7$ tagged η and $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ tagged η' [1] rith detected recoil protons) per 100 days of beam time. These make JEF a unique η and η' factory in the world with no competition in rare neutral decay modes.

3

Though the JEF experiment will offer sensitive probes for a broad range of physics topics as described in [1, 2], its primary objectives will focus on the following:

1. March for new sub-GeV gauge bosons. 14

Vector:

• A leptophobic vector boson (B') [9] coupled to baryon number.

$$\eta, \eta' \to B'\gamma \to \pi^0 \gamma \gamma, \quad (0.14 < m_{B'} < 0.62 \text{ GeV})$$

$$\eta' \to B'\gamma \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma, \quad (0.62 < m_{B'} < 1 \text{ GeV})$$

• A dark photon coupled to the Standard model photon via kinetic mixing [10, 11, 12, 13].

$$\eta, \eta' \to A' \gamma \to e^+ e^- \gamma$$

Scalar: Search for hadrophilic ¹⁵⁴, 15 scalar.

$$\eta \to \pi^0 S \to \pi^0 \gamma \gamma, \ \pi^0 e^+ e^-, \quad (10 \text{ MeV} < m_S < 2m_\pi)$$

$$\eta, \eta' \to \pi^0 S \to 3\pi, \ \eta' \to \eta S \to \eta \pi \pi, \qquad (m_S > 2m_\pi)$$

Axion-Like Particle (ALP): Light pseudoscalars [16, 17] will be searched via

$$\eta, \eta' \to \pi \pi a \to \pi \pi \gamma \gamma, \ \pi \pi e^+ e^-$$

2. A search for C-violating $\eta^{(\prime)}$ decays (such as $\eta^{(\prime)} \to 3\gamma$ and $\eta^{(\prime)} \to 2\pi^0\gamma$) and a mirror asymmetry in the Dalitz distribution of $\eta^{(\prime)} \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ will offer the best direct constraints on new C-violating, P-conserving reactions (CVPC). As pointed out by M. Ramsey-Musolf, the lectric Dipole Moment (EDM) searches may place no constraint on CVPC in the presence of a conspiracy or new symmetry; only the direct searches of CVPC are unambiguous [18].

Summary of Comments on index-20.dvi

.

Page: 1				
Number: 1 Author: zisis Subject: Callout Date: 2020-06	6-01, 8:10:58 AM			
insert space				
Number: 2 Author: zisis Subject: Callout Date: 2020-06	6-01, 8:17:43 AM			
hyphens to be consistent with other parts of the document				
Mumber: 3 Author: zisis Subject: Line Date: 2020-06	6-01, 8:18:00 AM			
Number: 4 Author: zisis Subject: Replacement Text Da	tte: 2020-06-01, 8:12:43 AM			
-	451 2020 0C 04 0/40/47 AM			
	Ite: 2020-06-01, 8:12:47 AM			
TNumber: 6 Author: zisis Subject: Inserted Text Da	nte: 2020-06-01 8:11:28 AM			
mesons				
Number: 7 Author: zisis Subject: Comment on Text Date: 2020-06-01, 8:12:03 AM				
and and/or?				
The Number: 8 Author: zisis Subject: Replacement Text Date: 2020-06-01, 8:12:18 AM				
FCAL-II				
Number: 9 Author: zisis Subject: Cross-Out Date: 2020-06-01, 8:13:35 AM				
- Number: 10 Author: zisis Subject: Replacement Te	ext Date: 2020-06-01, 8:13:45 AM			
Number: 11 Author: zicis Subject: Comment on Tr	avt Date: 2020-06-01 8:14:32 AM			
redundant; tagged eta was defined above	Date. 2020-00-01, 0. 14.02 AW			
The Number: 12 Author: zisis Subject: Replacement To	ext Date: 2020-06-01, 8:19:04 AM			
are	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Number: 13 Author: zisis Subject: Inserted Text	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:19:07 AM			
A				
Number: 14 Author: zisis Subject: Inserted Text	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:20:09 AM			
(vector, scalar and axion-like particle)				
Number: 15 Author: zisis Subject: Comment on Te	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:15:30 AM			
The Author: zisis Subject: Replacement Te	ext Date: 2020-06-01, 8:16:16 AM			
Number: 17 Author: ziele Subject: Callout Do	te: 2020-06-01 8:16:50 ΔM			
author in ref [18] so no need to mention author by name				

- 3. Probing the low-energy QCD via precision measurements. A low-background measurement of the rare decay $\eta \to \pi^0 2\gamma$ provides a clean, rare window into $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ in chiral perturbation theory [19]. This is the only known meson decay that proceeds via a polarizability-type mechanism. The precision in the Dalitz distribution will be sufficient for the first time to explore the role of scalar meson dynamics and its proceeds with the vector meson dominance. The measurements of the transition form factor of η and η' via the $\eta^{(\prime)} \to e^+e^-\gamma$ decays offer important input to calculate hadronic light-by-light corrections to the muon g 2 [20].
- 4. $\eta \to 3\pi$ promises an accurate determination of the quark mass ratio, $\mathcal{Q} = (m_s^2 \hat{m}^2)/(m_d^2 m_u^2)$ with $\hat{m} = (m_u + m_d)/2$. A recent dispersive analysis result yields $\mathcal{Q} = 22.1 \pm 0.7$ [21]. The statistical uncertainty due to fitting the Dalitz distribution of $\eta \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ (4.7M events) from KLOE-II [22] contributes ± 0.44 to the total error budget for the extracted \mathcal{Q} [21], accounting for one of the biggest uncertainties. The A2-MAMI result for the neutral decay $\eta \to 3\pi^0$ with 7M events [23] was also considered in [21], however, it imposes less constraint on \mathcal{Q} because of identical final state pions. JEF will be able to reduce this uncertainty by a factor of two with high-statistical state pions. JEF will be able to reduce this uncertainty by a factor of two with high-statistical state pions. JEF will be able to reduce this uncertainty by a factor of two with high-statistical state pions. JEF will be able to reduce this uncertainty by a factor of two with high-statistical state pions. JEF will be able to reduce the energies of η 's. In combination with a new Primakoff measurement of $\Gamma(\eta \to \gamma\gamma)$ from the on-going PrimEx-eta experiment (E-10-011) [24] to normalize the $\eta \to 3\pi$ decay width, it will allow an independent cross-check on the systematic uncertainty of the extracted quark mass ratio \mathcal{Q} for the first time.

Compared to the original JEF proposals [1, 2] submitted to the previous PACs, the scope of the JEF physics has been expanded mainly in two areas: (1) new physics searches have been broadened by not only searching for a leptophobic dark vector boson (B') [9] but also including dark photon [10, 11, 12, 13], hadrophilic scalar [14], and Axion-Like Particles (ALP) [25, 26, 27], probing two out of three dimension-four portals to the dark sector; (2) production of η' simultaneously with η at the similar rate will extend the mass coverage of new mediator search to ~ 1 GeV.

About 85% of matter in the Universe is Dark Matter (DM) whose constituents and interactions are unknown other than its gravitational properties. The stability of Dark Matter (DM) suggests that there may be a dark sector consisting of a rich symmetry structure with new forces and new particles. Det k sector may include one or more mediator particles coupled to the SM via a portal. The gauge and Lorentz symmetries of the Standard Model (SM) greatly restrict the ways in which the mediator can couple to the SM. There are three dimension-4 portals: the vector, scalar/pseudoscalar and fermion portals from the SM sector into the dark sector. Over the past decades, intensive efforts at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and underground laboratories have born no fruit for Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMP), the simplest possible model for dark matter. There is a strong consensus among the physics community about the vital importance of broadening the scope of searches [28, 29, 30], both in the parameter space and in experimental approaches. The top-down models predict light mediators below GeV scale [31, 32]. These light states would have escaped detection thus far if they are very weakly coupled to the Standard Model. Recently, sub-GeV mediators have gained strong motivation, driven partly by Beveral observed anomalies. These include several reported excesses in high-energy cosmic rays, which could be explained by dark matter annihilation [33, 34]. Scalar- or vector-mediated dark forces can also explain long-standing issues with galactic rotation curves and can solve small scale structure anomalies in dwarf galaxies and subhalos, while satisfying constraints on larger galaxy and cluster scales [35, 36, 37]. Lastly, there are the muon g-2 anomaly [15, 10, 38] and an anomalous e^+e^+ resonance observed in ⁸Be decay [39, 40]. If these phenomena are interpreted in terms of new physics, all point toward mediator 10

there is another several a few words down

Page: 2

Number: 1 Author: zisis	Subject: Cross-Out Date: 2020-06-01, 8:18:21 AM		
T Number: 2 Author: zisis	Subject: Replacement Text	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:20:23 AM	
A probe of			
T Number: 3 Author: zisis	Subject: Replacement Text	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:21:15 AM	
their			
T Number: 4 Author: zisis	Subject: Replacement Text	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:22:01 AM	
Number: 5 Author: zisis	Subject: Replacement Text	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:22:04 AM	
s			
Number: 6 Author: zisis	Subject: Replacement Text	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:22:09 AM	
-			
Number: 7 Author: zisis	Subject: Replacement Text	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:26:26 AM	
The d			
T Number: 8 Author: zisis	Subject: Cross-Out Date: 202	20-06-01, 8:27:06 AM	
Number: 9 Author: zisis	Subject: Replacement Text	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:28:02 AM	
is			
Number: 10 Author: z	isis Subject: Callout	Date: 2020-06-01, 8:27:32 AM	

there is another several a few words down

particles in the MeV–GeV mass range. Fig. 1 shows a map of the parameter landscape for the global efforts on the BSM searches. While LHC can realistically pick up new physics in the upper-right corner of the map for the coupling constant of $\alpha_X \sim \alpha_{SM}$ and the mass scale of $m_X \sim 1$ TeV, and the Lepton Flavor Violation (LFV) and sub-atomic Electric Dipole Moment (EDM) searches can explore the bottom region for $\alpha_X \leq 10^{-6}$ and a broad range of m_X up to 1000 TeV. The JEF program will focus on the sub-GeV mediators for interactions that can be even "stronger than weak" as shown in Fig. 1. Even though LFV and EDM may stretch to the small mass range and overlap some of territory within JEF's interest, however, LFV requires flavor-changing and EDM is sensitive to CP-violating physics. Therefore, η/η' decays used in the JEF experiment offer a unique niche for new physics that are flavor-conserving, light quark-coupling, and CP-conserving. Fig. 2 gives an example for the sensitivity of the JEF experiment. With 100 day's beam time, a study of $\eta \to \gamma + B'(\to \gamma + \pi^0)$ will improve the existing bounds by two orders of magnitude, with sensitivity to the baryonic fine structure constant α_B as small as 10^{-7} , indirectly constraining the existence of anomaly cancelling fermions at the TeV-scale.



Figure 1: A sketch of the parameter landscape for BSM physics searches: the coupling constant α_X vs. the mass m_X [41].



Figure 2: Current exclusion regions for a leptophobic gauge boson B' [9], with the proposed search region via $\eta \rightarrow \gamma + B'(\rightarrow \gamma + \pi^0)$ labelled "JEF" for the coupling vs mass plane. Dashed gray contours denote the upper bound on the mass scale Λ for new electroweak fermions needed for anomaly cancellation.

Page: 3

T Number: 1 Author: zisis

Subject: Cross-Out Date: 2020-06-01, 8:56:01 AM

References

- L. Gan et al., the updated JEF proposal to JLab PAC45, 2017, https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/17/C12-14-004.pdf.
- [2] L. Gan et al., the JEF proposal to JLab PAC42, 2014, https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/14/PR12-14-004.pdf.
- [3] P. Adlarson [A2 Collaboration], EPJ Web Conf. 218, 03002 (2019).
- [4] V. L. Kashevarov *et al.* [A2 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, no. 21, 212001 (2017) [arXiv:1701.04809 [nucl-ex]].
- [5] N. Hüsken et al. [WASA-at-COSY Collaboration], EPJ Web Conf. 199, 01006 (2019).
- [6] S. S. Fang [BESIII Collaboration], PoS CD 15, 032 (2016).
- [7] M. Berlowski [KLOE-2 Collaboration], EPJ Web Conf. 218, 08003 (2019).
- [8] C. Gatto [REDTOP Collaboration], arXiv:1910.08505 [physics.ins-det].
- [9] S. Tulin, Phys.Rev. D89, 114008 (2014).
- [10] P. Fayet, Phys. Rev. D 75, 115017 (2007) [hep-ph/0702176 [HEP-PH]].
- [11] M. Reece and L. T. Wang, JHEP 0907, 051 (2009) [arXiv:0904.1743 [hep-ph]].
- [12] J. D. Bjorken, R. Essig, P. Schuster and N. Toro, Phys. Rev. D 80, 075018 (2009) [arXiv:0906.0580 [hep-ph]].
- [13] B. Batell, M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, Phys. Rev. D 79, 115008 (2009) [arXiv:0903.0363 [hep-ph]].
- [14] B. Batell, A. Freitas, A. Ismail and D. Mckeen, Phys. Rev. D 100, 095020 (2019) [arXiv:1812.05103 [hep-ph]].
- [15] Y. S. Liu, I. C. Cloët and G. A. Miller, Nucl. Phys. B, 114638 (2019).
- [16] B. A. Dobrescu, G. L. Landsberg and K. T. Matchev, Phys. Rev. D 63, 075003 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0005308 [hep-ph]].
- [17] D. Aloni, Y. Soreq and M. Williams, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 031803 (2019) [arXiv:1811.03474 [hep-ph]].
- [18] M. Ramsey-Musolf, et. al., phys. Rev., D63, 076007 (2001).
- [19] L. Ametller, J. Bijnens, and F. Cornet, Phys. Lett., B **276**, 185 (1992).
- [20] M. Knecht and A. Nyffeler, Phys. Rev. D 65, 073034 (2002) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65.073034 [hep-ph/0111058].
- [21] G. Colangelo, S. Lanz, H. Leutwyler and E. Passemar, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, no. 11, 947 (2018) [arXiv:1807.11937 [hep-ph]].
- [22] A. Anastasi et al. [KLOE-2 Collaboration], JHEP 1605, 019 (2016) [arXiv:1601.06985 [hep-ex]].

This page contains no comments

- [23] S. Prakhov et al. [A2 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C 97, 065203 (2018) [arXiv:1803.02502 [hep-ex]].
- [24] A. Gasparian and L. Gan et al., JLab proposal "A Precision Measurement of the η Radiative Decay Width via the Primakoff Effect", https://www.jlab.org/exp_prog/proposals/10/PR12-10-011.pdf.
- [25] M. Bauer, M. Neubert and A. Thamm, JHEP **1712**, 044 (2017) [arXiv:1708.00443 [hep-ph]].
- [26] J. Hewett, et al. arXiv:1205.2671 [hep-ex].
- [27] W. Altmannshofer, S. Gori and D. J. Robinson, Phys. Rev. D 101, 075002 (2020) [arXiv:1909.00005 [hep-ph]].
- [28] R. Essig *et al.*, arXiv:1311.0029 [hep-ph].
- [29] J. Alexander *et al.*, arXiv:1608.08632 [hep-ph].
- [30] M. Battaglieri *et al.*, arXiv:1707.04591 [hep-ph].
- [31] P. Fayet, Nucl. Phys. B **187**, 184 (1981).
- [32] M. Goodsell, J. Jaeckel, J. Redondo and A. Ringwald, JHEP 0911, 027 (2009) [arXiv:0909.0515 [hep-ph]].
- [33] N. Arkani-Hamed, D. P. Finkbeiner, T. R. Slatyer and N. Weiner, Phys. Rev. D 79, 015014 (2009) [arXiv:0810.0713 [hep-ph]].
- [34] M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, Phys. Lett. B 671, 391 (2009) [arXiv:0810.1502 [hep-ph]].
- [35] S. Tulin and H. B. Yu, Phys. Rept. **730**, 1 (2018) [arXiv:1705.02358 [hep-ph]].
- [36] S. Tulin, H. Yu, K.M. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 111301 (2013).
- [37] S. Tulin, H. Yu, K.M. Zurek, Phys. Rev. D 87, 115007-1 (2013).
- [38] M. Pospelov, Phys. Rev. D 80, 095002 (2009) [arXiv:0811.1030 [hep-ph]].
- [39] A. J. Krasznahorkay et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 042501 (2016) [arXiv:1504.01527 [nucl-ex]].
- [40] J. L. Feng, B. Fornal, I. Galon, S. Gardner, J. Smolinsky, T. M. P. Tait and P. Tanedo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 071803 (2016) [arXiv:1604.07411 [hep-ph]].
- [41] M. Pospelov, "Light Scalar and Vector Extensions of the Standard Model", Physics Seminars at JLab, May 16, 2014.

This page contains no comments