MeAsurement of F_2^n/F_2^p , d/u RAtios and A=3 EMC Effect in Deep Inelastic Electron Scattering Off the Tritium and Helium MirrOr Nuclei

Hanjie Liu

Columbia University

MENU 2019, Jun 6

The JLab MARATHON Tritium Collaboration

D. Abrams, H. Albataineh, S. Alsalmi, D. Androic, K. Aniol, W. Armstrong, J. Arrington, H. Atac, T. Averett, C. Ayerbe Gayoso, X. Bai, J. Bane*, S. Barcus, A. Beck, V. Bellini, H. Bhatt, D. Bhetuwal, D. Biswas, D. Blyth, W. Boeglin, D. Bulumulla, A. Camsonne, M. Carmignotto, J. Castellanos, J-P. Chen, C. Ciofi degli Atti, E. O. Cohen, S. Covrig, K. Craycraft, R. Cruz-Torres, B. Dongwi, M. Duer, B. Duran, D. Dutta, N. Fomin, E. Fuchey, C. Gal, T. N. Gautam, S. Gilad, K. Gnanvo, T. Gogami, J. Gomez, C. Gu, A. Habarakada, T. Hague*, O. Hansen, M. Hattawy, F. Hauenstein, O. Hen, D. W. Higinbotham, R. Holt, E. Hughes, C. Hyde, H. Ibrahim, S. Jian, S. Joosten, A. Karki, B. Karki, A. T. Katramatou, C. Keppel, M. Khachatryan, V. Khachatryan, A. Khanal, D. King, P. King, I. Korover, S. A. Kulagin, T. Kutz*, N. Lashley-Colthirst, G. Laskaris, S. Li, W. Li, H. Liu*, S. Liuti, N. Liyanage, D. Lonardoni, R. Machleidt, L.E. Marcucci, P. Markowitz, E. McClellan, D. Meekins, W. Melnitchouk, S. Mey-Tal Beck, Z-E. Meziani, R. Michaels, M. Mihovilovič, V. Nelvubin, D. Nguyen, N. Nuruzzaman, M. Nycz*, R. Obrecht, M. Olson, L. Ou, V. Owen, E. Pace, B. Pandey, V. Pandey, A. Papadopoulou, M. Paolone, S. Park, M. Patsyuk, S. Paul, G. G. Petratos, R. Petti, E. Piasetzky, R. Pomatsalyuk, S. Premathilake, A. J. R. Puckett, V. Punjabi, R. Ransome, M. N. H. Rashad, P. E. Reimer, S. Riordan, J. Roche, F. Sammarruca, G. Salmè, N. Santiesteban, B. Sawatzky, J. Segal, E. P. Segarra, B. Schmookler, A. Schmidt, S. Scopetta, A. Shahinyan, S. Širca, N. Sparveris, **T. Su**^{*}, R. Suleiman, H. Szumila-Vance, A. S. Tadepalli, L. Tang, W. Tireman, F. Tortorici, G. Urciuoli, M. Viviani, L. B. Weinstein, B. Wojtsekhowski, S. Wood, Z. H. Ye, Z. Y. Ye, and J. Zhang.

More than 140 Collaborators

Red-Boldfaced Names: Tritium Program grad students; starred: MARATHON Ph.D. students Blue-Boldfaced Names: Tritium Program postdoctoral associates

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The JLab MARATHON Tritium Collaboration

Forty Five Institutions (in no particular order): University of Virginia; Texas A & M University; Kent State University; University of Zagreb; California State University, Los Angeles; Argonne National Laboratory; Temple University; The College of William and Mary; University of Tennessee; Massachusetts Institute of Technology; INFN Sezione di Catania; INFN Sezione di Roma, INFN Sezione di Pisa; Mississippi State University; Hampton University; Florida International University; Old Dominion University; Jefferson Lab; University of Perugia; Tel Aviv University; University of Connecticut; Tohoku University; Columbia University; Cairo University; Ohio University; Stony Brook, State University of New York; Syracuse University; Nuclear Research Center-Negev, Beer-Sheva: Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences; University of New Hampshire; University of Regina; Columbia University; Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University; Los Alamos National Laboratory; University of Idaho; University of Pisa; Jožef Stefan Institute, University of Ljubljana; Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz; Saint Norbert College; Center for Neutrino Physics, Virginia Tech; University of South Carolina; Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology; Norfolk State University; Rutgers University; Artem Alikhanian National Laboratory; Tel Aviv University; Northern Michigan University; University of Illinois, Chicago.

Twelve Countries: Armenia, Canada, Croatia, Egypt, Germany, Israel, Italy, Japan, Russia, Slovenia, Ukraine, United States.

э

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト -

Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS)



$$Q^{2} = -q^{2} = 4EE' \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$$
$$\nu = E - E'$$
$$x = \frac{Q^{2}}{2M\nu} \quad \text{(Bjorken x)}$$
$$W^{2} = (q+p)^{2} = M^{2} + Q^{2}\left(\frac{1}{x} - 1\right)$$



• Inclusive: only the scattered electron are detected;

• **DIS:** the scattering at large W^2 and large momentum transfer

Cross Section and Structure functions

• The cross section for inelastic electron-nucleon scattering is:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{4\alpha^2 (E')^2}{Q^4} \cos^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) [\frac{F_2(\nu, Q^2)}{\nu} + \frac{2F_1(\nu, Q^2)}{M} \tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2})]$$

$$F_1 = \frac{F_2(1+Q^2/\nu^2)}{2x(1+R)} \quad \rightarrow \quad \sigma \propto F_2$$

The measurements of $R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T$ show almost no A dependence at high Q^2 and it's closed to 0.

• Quark-Parton Model: the nucleon structure function is related to quark probability distributions $q_i(x)$, $(i = u, \overline{u}, d, \overline{d}, etc.)$

$$F_2(x) = x \sum_i e_i^2 q_i(x)$$

F_2^n/F_2^p and d/u

Quark-parton Model:

$$F_2^p(x) = x[(\frac{2}{3})^2(u^p + \overline{u}^p) + (-\frac{1}{3})^2(d^p + \overline{d}^p) + (-\frac{1}{3})^2(s^p + \overline{s}^p)]$$

$$F_2^n(x) = x[(\frac{2}{3})^2(u^n + \overline{u}^n) + (-\frac{1}{3})^2(d^n + \overline{d}^n) + (-\frac{1}{3})^2(s^p + \overline{s}^p)]$$



neutron

Assume isospin symmetry:

$$u^{p}(x) = d^{n}(x) \equiv u(x) \qquad u^{n}(x) = d^{p}(x) \equiv d(x)$$

And neglect the sea quarks distributions:

$$\frac{F_2^n}{F_2^p} = \frac{1+4\mathbf{d/u}}{4+\mathbf{d/u}}$$

$x \rightarrow 1$ predictions	F_2^n/F_2^p	d/u
SU(6)	2/3	1/2
Diquark Model/Feynman	1/4	0
Quark Model/Isgur	1/4	0
Perturbative QCD	3/7	1/5
QCD Counting Rules	3/7	1/5

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

 F_2^n/F_2^p is one of the best methods to determine the d/u ratio.

æ

PDFs and high energy physics

- The parton distribution functions (PDFs) in the valence region is the key to hadron physics;
- PDFs uncertainties limit the precision of high energy collider physics

PDF sets	$\sigma(H)^{\text{NNLO}}$ (pb) nominal $\alpha_s(M_Z)$	$\sigma(H)^{ m NNLO}$ (pb) $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.115$	$\sigma(H)^{\text{NNLO}} \text{ (pb)} \alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.118$
ABM12 [2]	39.80 ± 0.84	41.62 ± 0.46	44.70 ± 0.50
CJ15 [1] ^a	$42.45_{-0.18}^{+0.43}$	$39.48^{+0.40}_{-0.17}$	$42.45_{-0.18}^{+0.43}$
CT14 [3] ^b	$42.33^{+1.43}_{-1.68}$	$39.41^{+1.33}_{-1.56}$ (40.10)	$42.33^{+1.43}_{-1.68}$
HERAPDF2.0 [4] ^c	$42.62_{-0.43}^{+0.35}$	$39.68^{+0.32}_{-0.40}$ (40.88)	$42.62_{-0.43}^{+0.35}$
JR14 (dyn) [5]	38.01 ± 0.34	39.34 ± 0.22	42.25 ± 0.24
MMHT14 [6]	$42.36_{-0.78}^{+0.56}$	$39.43_{-0.73}^{+0.53}$ (40.48)	$42.36_{-0.78}^{+0.56}$
NNPDF3.0 [7]	42.59 ± 0.80	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 39.65 & \pm & 0.74 \\ (40.74 \pm 0.88) \end{array}$	42.59 ± 0.80
PDF4LHC15 [8]	42.42 ± 0.78	39.49 ± 0.73	42.42 ± 0.78

A. Accardi et al. EPJC76, 471 (2016)

The predictions of Higgs cross section at NNLO in QCD depend largely (as large as 13%) on PDF paramterizations and running coupling.

A (10) N (10)

Neutron structure function



- F_2^{ρ} is measured up to 0.85 by electron/muon scattering on Hydrogen target;
- Since there is no free neutron target, inclusive DIS on deuteron is used to extract F_2^n for decades
- However, the nuclear corrections inside deuteron are model dependent.

 $\leftarrow {\it F_2^n}/{\it F_2^p}$ extracted from SLAC d/p DIS data using different nuclear corrections



Impact on d/u from CJ15 of removing the deuterium nuclear corrections

MARATHON

Perform inclusive DIS on mirror nuclei ³H, ³He

$$\frac{F_2^{^3H}}{F_2^{^3He}} = \frac{\sigma(^3H)}{\sigma(^3He)}$$



• ${}^{3}H$ and ${}^{3}He$ EMC type ratios:

$$R(^{3}He) = \frac{F_{2}^{^{3}He}}{2F_{2}^{^{p}} + F_{2}^{^{n}}} \qquad \qquad R(^{3}H) = \frac{F_{2}^{^{3}H}}{F_{2}^{^{p}} + 2F_{2}^{^{n}}}$$

define the "super-ratio" of EMC ratios in ${}^{3}H$ and ${}^{3}He$:

$$\mathcal{R} = rac{R(^{3}He)}{R(^{3}H)}$$

• Free neutron to proton structure functions:

$$\frac{F_2^n}{F_2^p} = \frac{2\mathcal{R} - F_2^{^3He}/F_2^{^3H}}{2F_2^{^3He}/F_2^{^3H} - \mathcal{R}}$$

▲口▶▲御▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のぐら

Super-ratio \mathcal{R}

- ${}^{3}H$ and ${}^{3}He$ are mirror nuclei. The nuclear corrections should be similar.
- \mathcal{R} has been calculated in theory to deviate from 1 up to 2% by taking into account all possible effects



Super-ratio ${\mathcal R}$ calculated by S. Kulagin and R. Petti

 The iterative procedure could eliminate the nucleon structure function dependence in the Fⁿ₂/F^p₂ extraction.

JLAB CEBAF 12 GeV





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Newport News, VA, US

Hall A High Resolution Spectrometers (HRS)



Targets



● Beam current ≤ 22.5 *uA*

-0.1

Ó

Z_{target} [m]

0.1

10.2

0.2

MARATHON Kinematics

- Experiment ran on January-April 2018;
- Beam energy 10.6 GeV;
- Average current \sim 20 uA
- $\bullet\,$ Scattering angle: 17 $^\circ$ 36 $^\circ\,$
- Cover the Bjorken x range 0.19 < x < 0.83
- Took DIS data on ³H, ³He, ²D, ¹H



Data Analysis Procedure



σ^d/σ^p from MARATHON



Deuteron EMC R(d)

The comparison between the deuteron EMC $R(d) = \frac{F_2^d}{F_2^n + F_2^n}$ from K&P model and the BoNuS results



EMC Effect - Deuteron - BoNuS -Kulagin&Petti

17 / 39

F_2^n/F_2^p extracted from σ^d/σ^p



Super-ratio \mathcal{R}



F_2^n/F_2^p extracted from $\sigma^{^{3}He}/\sigma^{^{3}H}$



In order to match the values of F_2^n/F_2^p from the two measurements in the vicinity of x=0.3, $F_2^{^3H}/F_2^{^3He}$ must be scaled down (normalized) by 2.7%.

F_2^n/F_2^p from MARATHON



EMC effect



J. Gomez et al., Phys. Rev. D49, 4348-4372 (1994)

EMC effect: Nuclear structure function F_2 per nucleon is different than that of deuterium: large dependence on Bjorken x and mass A. Possible explanations:

- Binding and x rescaling;
- Pion enhancement models;
- Quark confinement models;
- Short Range Correlations;



B. Schmookler et al., Nature 566, 354-358 (2019)

³H EMC ratio



³He EMC ratio



- The MARATHON d/p DIS measurements agree well with the seminal SLAC Bodek et al. measurements and provide an excellent normalization for the ${}^{3}H/{}^{3}He$ DIS data.
- MARATHON has provided high quality F₂ⁿ/F₂^p data at medium and large x with much less theoretical uncertainties than the original SLAC data from d/p DIS.
- There may be no need to iterate our calculation, as the model used in the F_2^n/F_2^p extraction agrees very well with the data!
- Next to be done is the extraction of leading twist F_2^n/F_2^p in order to determine the d/u ratio.
- MARATHON provides the first ${}^{3}H$ EMC data and the new large-x and large- W^{2} ${}^{3}He$ EMC data.
- The isoscalar $F_2^{^{3}H}/F_2^d$ and $F_2^{^{3}He}/F_2^d$ EMC ratio shapes are "similar", as expected, but with different "EMC effect slopes".

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Thanks

- Thanks to the graduate students and postdocs for their hard work and dedication in preparing, running and analyzing the experiment
- Thanks to the Accelerator and Hall A Scientific and Technical Staff of JLab, and the Lab Management for their outstanding support of the MARATHON project.
- Special thanks to Roy Holt and David Meekins for making a reality, for (only) the third time in the US, a tritium target for electronuclear physics.
- Special thanks to Doug Higinbotham for managing the Tritium Program.
- Thanks to all theory colleagues who embraced the experiment since its inception and contributed to the development of the proposal and to the analysis of the experimental data.

A B < A B </p>

backup



æ

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶

 $R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T$





< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

æ

In order to compare the structure function for an average nucleon, must correct the ratio for the proton or neutron excess.

$$\left(\frac{\sigma^A}{\sigma^d}\right)_{iso} = \left(\frac{\sigma^A}{\sigma^d}\right) \frac{\frac{1}{2}(1+F_2^n/F_2^p)}{\frac{1}{4}(Z+(A-Z)F_2^n/F_2^p)}$$

∃ ► < ∃ ►











< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >





3. 3



Compilation of EMC Effect Data by S. Kulagin and R. Petti SLAC E139-CERN



MARATHON

æ

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Electron Identification - MARATHON



э

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト