

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Kinematics			
	DIS#1	Left DIS#2	Right DIS#2
$E_b$ (GeV)	6.067	6.067	
$\theta_0$	12.9°	20.0°	
$E'_0$ (GeV)	3.66	2.63	
$\langle Q^2 \rangle_{\text{data}} [(\text{GeV}/c)^2]$	1.085	1.901	
$\langle x \rangle$	0.241	0.295	
$\langle W \rangle$ (GeV)	2.073	2.330	
$A_{\text{raw}}^{\text{bc}}$ (ppm)	−78.45	−140.30	−139.84
(stat.)	±2.68	±10.43	±6.58
(syst.)	±0.07	±0.16	±0.46
Corrections with systematic uncertainties			
$P_b$	88.18%	89.29	88.73%
$\Delta P_b$	±1.76%	±1.19%	±1.50%
$1 + \bar{f}_{\text{depol}}$	1.0010	1.0021	
(syst.)	$< 10^{-4}$	$< 10^{-4}$	
$1 + \bar{f}_{\text{Al}}$	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999
(syst.)	±0.0024	±0.0024	±0.0024
$1 + \bar{f}_{\text{dt}}$	1.0147	1.0049	1.0093
(syst.)	±0.0009	±0.0004	±0.0013
$1 + \bar{f}_{\text{rc}}$	1.015	1.019	
(syst.)	±0.020	±0.004	
$1 + \bar{f}_{\gamma\gamma\text{box}}$	0.998	0.997	
(syst.)	±0.002	±0.003	
Other systematic uncertainties in $\Delta A_{\text{exp}}/A_{\text{exp}}$			
$\Delta \bar{f}_{\pi^-}$	±0.009%	±0.006%	±0.003%
$\Delta \bar{f}_{\text{pair}}$	±0.04%	±0.3%	±0.3%
$\Delta \bar{f}_{A_n}$	±2.5%	±2.5%	±2.5%
$\Delta Q^2$	±0.85%	±0.64%	±0.65%
rescattering background	≪ 0.2%	≪ 0.2%	≪ 0.2%
target impurity	±0.06%	±0.06%	±0.06%
Asymmetry Results			
$A_{\text{exp}}$ (ppm)	−91.10	−160.80	
(stat.)	±3.11	±6.39	
(syst.)	±2.97	±3.12	
(total)	±4.30	±7.12	

TABLE I: Asymmetry results on  $\vec{e}^-^2\text{H}$  parity-violating scattering from the PVDIS experiment at JLab. The kinematics shown include the beam energy  $E_b$ , central angle and momentum settings of the spectrometer  $\theta_0, E'_0$ , and the actual kinematics averaged from the data  $\langle Q^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle x \rangle$ . The electron asymmetries obtained from the narrow trigger of the DAQ with beam-related corrections,  $A_{\text{raw}}^{\text{bc}}$ , were corrected for the effects from the beam polarization  $P_b$  and other systematic effects including: the beam depolarization effect  $\bar{f}_{\text{depol}}$ , the target aluminum endcap  $\bar{f}_{\text{Al}}$ , the DAQ deadtime  $\bar{f}_{\text{dt}}$  [1], the radiative correction  $\bar{f}_{\text{rc}}$  that includes effects from energy losses of incoming and scattered electrons as well as the spectrometer acceptance and detector efficiencies, and the box-diagram correction  $\bar{f}_{\gamma\gamma\text{box}}$ . Other systematic uncertainties that affected the asymmetries include: the charged pion and the pair production background  $\bar{f}_{\pi^-}$  and  $\bar{f}_{\text{pair}}$ , the beam normal asymmetry  $\bar{f}_{A_n}$ , the uncertainty in the determination of  $Q^2$ , the re-scattering background, and the target impurity. Final results on the physics asymmetries  $A_{\text{exp}}$  are shown with their statistical, systematic, and total uncertainties. Reference: [1] Subedi, R. *et al.*, A Scaler-Based Data Acquisition System for Measuring Parity Violation Asymmetry in Deep Inelastic Scattering, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A. **724**, 90 (2013).

	$\langle Q^2 \rangle = 1.085, \langle x \rangle = 0.241$	$\langle Q^2 \rangle = 1.901, \langle x \rangle = 0.295$
Physical couplings used in the Calculation		
$\alpha_{EM}(Q^2)$	1/134.45	1/134.20
$C_{1u}^{SM} = -0.1887 - 0.0011 \times \frac{2}{3} \ln(\langle Q^2 \rangle / 0.14 \text{ GeV}^2)$	-0.1902	-0.1906
$C_{1d}^{SM} = 0.3419 - 0.0011 \times \frac{-1}{3} \ln(\langle Q^2 \rangle / 0.14 \text{ GeV}^2)$	0.3427	0.3429
$2C_{1u}^{SM} - C_{1d}^{SM}$	-0.7231	-0.7241
$C_{2u}^{SM} = -0.0351 - 0.0009 \ln(\langle Q^2 \rangle / 0.078 \text{ GeV}^2)$	-0.0375	-0.0380
$C_{2d}^{SM} = 0.0248 + 0.0007 \ln(\langle Q^2 \rangle / 0.021 \text{ GeV}^2)$	0.0276	0.0280
$2C_{2u}^{SM} - C_{2d}^{SM}$	-0.1025	-0.1039
$a_1, a_3$ terms in $A_{SM}$ , in ppm		
CTEQ/JLab (CJ) full fit, mid	NA	-147.37, -12.12
min		-147.41, -12.99
max		-147.40, -13.07
“PDF+QPM” MSTW2008 LO	-83.61, -4.13	-146.43, -12.48
“PDF+QPM” CT10 (NLO)	-84.06, -4.35	-146.64, -12.89
coefficients for $2C_{1u} - C_{1d}, 2C_{2u} - C_{2d}$ in $A_{SM}$ , in ppm		
CTEQ/JLab (CJ) full fit, mid	NA	203.52, 116.68
min		203.58, 125.01
max		203.56, 125.78
“PDF+QPM” MSTW2008 LO	115.63, 40.26	202.22, 120.08
“PDF+QPM” CT10 (NLO)	116.25, 42.41	202.51, 124.08

TABLE II: Comparison of standard-model (SM) prediction for the asymmetry,  $A_{SM}$ , using different structure functions: MSTW2008 [2], CT10 [3], and the CTEQ/JLab (CJ) [4] fits. The CJ fits include 3 sets – middle, minimal, and maximal – to provide the nominal value of the asymmetry and the uncertainties. Values for  $\alpha_{EM}(Q^2)$  were calculated using  $\alpha_{EM}(Q^2 = 0) = 1/137.036$ . The weak couplings at the measured  $Q^2$  values,  $C_{1,2}^{SM}(Q^2)$ , were based on Table 7 and Eq. (114-115) of [5]. References: [2] Martin, A.D., Stirling, W.J., Thorne, R.S. and Watt, G., Parton distributions for the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C **63**, 189 (2009). [3] H.-L. Lai *et al.*, New parton distributions for collider physics, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 074024 (2010). [4] Owens, J.F., Accardi, A. and Melnitchouk, W., Global parton distributions with nuclear and finite- $Q^2$  corrections, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 094012 (2013). [5] Erler, J. and Su, S., The Weak Neutral Current, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **71**, 119 (2013).