ECAL Summary 4

ECAL Energy Resolution Update

Update

- Added fiber holes to all the ecal blocks
- Earlier analysis used thinner lead absorbers for the shower layers
 - Correct thickness is 0.05 cm (For Lead)
 - I was using 0.034 cm (For Tungsten)
- It is interesting to note that with 0.034 cm lead, there is more energy deposit in the scintillator
 - But more energy leakage in the shower

With No Holes : Sampling Fraction



Update to Analysis



03/10/16

Intrinsic ECAL Energy Resolution : No Holes



Based on calibrated energy deposit in the ECAL using sampling fractions for Shower and Pre-Shower

Intrinsic ECAL Energy Resolution : No Holes

	From Total Energy on ECAL			
Pf	(GeV)	Resolution	Error	
	2.23	0.037	0.001	
	2.73	0.035	0.001	
	3.23	0.033	0.001	
	3.73	0.032	0.0005	
	4.23	0.030	0.0005	
	4.73	0.028	0.0004	
	5.23	0.027	0.0004	
	5.73	0.026	0.0004	
	6.23	0.025	0.0004	
	6.73	0.024	0.0004	

From 6+1 Clusters			
Pf (C	GeV)	Resolution	Error
	2.23	0.037	0.0006
	2.73	0.035	0.0005
	3.23	0.034	0.0005
	3.73	0.033	0.0005
	4.23	0.031	0.0005
	4.73	0.030	0.0004
	5.23	0.029	0.0004
	5.73	0.028	0.0004
	6.23	0.027	0.0004
	6.73	0.026	0.0004

From 2+1 Clusters			
Pf (GeV)	Resolution	Error	
2.23	0.050	0.001	
2.73	0.048	0.001	
3.23	0.048	0.001	
3.73	0.048	0.001	
4.23	0.047	0.001	
4.73	0.046	0.001	
5.23	0.046	0.001	
5.73	0.046	0.001	
6.23	0.046	0.001	
6.73	0.046	0.001	

Intrinsic ECAL Energy Resolution : With Fiber Holes



Based on calibrated energy deposit in the ECAL using sampling fractions for Shower and Pre-Shower

Intrinsic ECAL Energy Resolution : With Fiber Holes

From Total Energy on ECAL			
Pf (GeV)	Resolution	Error	
2.23	0.032	0.001	
2.73	0.030	0.001	
3.23	0.028	0.001	
3.73	0.026	0.001	
4.23	0.024	0.001	
4.73	0.023	0.001	
5.23	0.023	0.001	
5.73	0.021	0.001	
6.23	0.020	0.001	
6.73	0.019	0.0005	

From 6+1 Clusters			
Pf	(GeV)	Resolution	Error
	2.23	0.033	0.001
	2.73	0.031	0.001
	3.23	0.028	0.001
	3.73	0.027	0.001
	4.23	0.026	0.001
	4.73	0.025	0.001
	5.23	0.024	0.001
	5.73	0.023	0.001
	6.23	0.022	0.001
	6.73	0.021	0.001

From 2+1 Clusters			
Pf	(GeV)	Resolution	Error
	2.23	0.048	0.001
	2.73	0.045	0.001
	3.23	0.045	0.001
	3.73	0.044	0.001
	4.23	0.045	0.001
	4.73	0.045	0.001
	5.23	0.044	0.001
	5.73	0.044	0.001
	6.23	0.043	0.001
	6.73	0.043	0.001

Energy Deposit in Lead



Energy Deposit in Scintillator



Average Energy Deposit

	No Holes Avg. Energy Dep. Per track (MeV)	Holes Avg. Energy Dep. Per track (MeV)
Shower (Lead + Scint)	4168	4168
Shower (Lead)	3334	3031
Shower (Scint)	834	1137

- With holes shower lead had 303 MeV less energy deposited
 - This 303 MeV energy is now deposited in the Scint

Energy Loss Fraction in Shower



Momentum Fraction Deposit in ECAL (Calibrated E/Pf)

Scint. Energy Deposit Z Profile



Summary

- Adding holes is equivalent to thin lead plates
 - Less energy is contained in Lead and transfer more energy to scintillator pads
- Intrinsic energy resolution with fiber holes is better than no fiber holes
- the sampling fraction has increased
 - Total energy deposit in the shower is about same
 - More energy is transferred from Lead to Scintillator with fiber holes
- Next : Following will be tested in order,
- 1) Add six larger holes to above geometry
- 2) Add front and back support plates
- 3) Add supporting aluminum wrap (this may require increasing the spacing between hex block.

Energy Leakage in ECAL

Definition,

- Leakage = (total edep in PS + toal edep in SH)/ (incident electron energy)
 - Where total edep in PS and Sh are total energy deposit in the active and passive layers
- If all the energy is deposited in the ECAL ratio would be close to unity

Energy Leakage in ECAL with thin Lead

Momentum Fraction Deposit in ECAL (Calibrated E/Pf)

